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Two major energy-related problems confront the world in the next 50 years. First, increased worldwide competition for gradually depleting fossil fuel reserves (derived from past photosynthesis) will lead to higher costs, both monetarily and politically. Second, atmospheric CO$_2$ levels are at their highest predicted to produce large and uncontrollable impacts on the world climate. These projected impacts extend beyond climate to ocean acidification, because the ocean is a major sink for atmospheric CO$_2$. Providing a future energy supply that is secure and CO$_2$-neutral will require switching to nonfossil energy sources such as wind, solar, nuclear, and geothermal energy and developing methods for transforming the energy produced by these new sources into forms that can be stored, transported, and used upon demand.

Carbon dioxide is the ultimate source of the fossil fuels used in our daily lives. These fossil fuels exist as gases, liquids, and solids, from which we can select the form most suitable for a particular application. This flexibility in fuel choice will be beneficial for the foreseeable future. The process that drives carbon fixation into these fuels is photosynthesis, the biological conversion of sunlight, water, and carbon dioxide into reduced organic materials. Photosynthesis occurs on a very large scale. An estimated $385 \times 10^9$ tons of carbon dioxide are fixed annually net, and the gross value is larger by a factor of 2.

Pathways for CO$_2$ fixation have evolved over billions of years and use diverse mechanisms and enzymes for processing CO$_2$ by making C–H and C–C bonds and cleaving C–O bonds. Research on homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts for CO$_2$ and CO reduction has also contributed to our understanding of C–C and C–H bond formation reactions as well as C–O bond cleavage reactions involved in the production of synthetic fuels. Significant scientific and economic imperatives thus motivate the development of carbon dioxide as a feedstock for fuels. According to the 2008 Bell/DOE report, “The major obstacle preventing efficient conversion of carbon dioxide into energy-bearing products is the lack of catalysts...” This background exemplifies the challenges that must be addressed. These considerations led to a workshop on CO$_2$ chemistry carried out under the aegis of the Council on Chemical and Biochemical Sciences of the Basic Energy Sciences Division of the United States Department of Energy. Held in the fall of 2011, the workshop had the purpose of assessing synergistic contributions of the catalysis and biological communities to the problem of converting carbon dioxide directly into fuels.

All biological systems must extract energy from their environments to carry out the metabolic processes associated with life itself. Living organisms have evolved to exist in an amazing variety of environments, and they can use and interconvert energy from a variety of sources. In addition to the six known metabolic pathways involved in the biological fixation of CO$_2$ into organic carbon, there are also important pathways that produce and use H$_2$, reduce N$_2$ to ammonia, oxidize water, and reduce oxygen. Thus, via these elemental cycles, biological systems have essentially developed their own H$_2$, methanol, ethanol, nitrogen, etc., economies. In addition, these biological economies are scalable, from the level of a single microorganism to the microbial community and to the worldwide ecosystems that play important roles in global carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen cycles. The enzymes required to carry out these important metabolic pathways have evolved over billions of years, and they use readily abundant materials from the environment to achieve these important energy conversion processes. All of these metabolic pathways involve the storage and utilization of energy in the form of chemical bonds, and our ability to carry out these same transformations in a controlled and productive manner,
Our central premise is that researchers in catalysis science can benefit from a deep understanding of these important metabolic processes. Complementarily, biochemists can learn by studying how catalytic scientists view these same chemical transformations promoted by synthetic catalysts. From these studies, hypotheses can be developed and tested through manipulation of enzyme structure and by synthesizing simple molecular catalysts to incorporate different structural features of the enzymes. It is hoped that these studies will lead to new and useful concepts in catalyst design for fuel production and utilization. This Review describes the results of a workshop held to explore these concepts in regard to the development of new and more efficient catalytic processes for the conversion of CO2 to a variety of carbon-based fuels.

The organization of this overview/Review is as follows: after this introduction, the second section briefly explores how interactions between the catalysis and biological communities have been fruitful in developing new catalysts for the reduction of protons to hydrogen, the simplest fuel generation reaction. The third section provides a concise summary of fundamentals of the chemistry and biochemistry of CO2. The fourth section assesses the state of the art in both biological and chemical reduction of CO2 by two electrons to form either carbon monoxide (CO) or formate (HCOO\(^-\)). It also attempts to identify common principles between biological and synthetic catalysts and productive areas for future research. The fifth section explores both biological and chemical processes that result in the reduction of CO2 beyond the level of CO and formate, again seeking to identify common principles and productive areas of future research. The sixth section explores the formation of carbon–carbon bonds in biological and chemical systems in the same vein as the other sections. A seventh section addresses the role of non-redox reactions of CO2 in biological systems and their role in carbon metabolism, with a parallel discussion of chemical systems. In the eighth section, the topics of electrode modification, photochemical systems, and tandem catalysis are briefly discussed. These areas may be important for developing practical systems for CO2 reduction, and they share the common theme of coupling chemical reactions. The final two sections describe some of the cross-cutting activities that are critical for advancing the science underpinning catalyst development and a summary of issues common to both biological and chemical systems to achieve practical catalysts that are suitable for the reduction of CO2 to fuels.

2. PRODUCTIVE INTERACTIONS BETWEEN THE BIOLOGICAL AND CATALYSIS COMMUNITIES: HYDROGENASES AND THEIR MIMICS AS AN EXAMPLE

As stated above, our central premise is that catalytic scientists can learn by studying metabolic processes in nature, and biological scientists can learn from the study of these same chemical transformations promoted by synthetic catalysts. An important example of such interactions can be found between the biological and chemical communities studying synthetic and natural catalysts for H2 production and oxidation. The recognition that organometallic complexes exist at the active site of these enzymes has led naturally to productive interactions among enzymologists and protein crystallographers from the biological community, spectroscopists and computational chemists from the physics and chemistry communities, and organometallic chemists from the catalysis community. In this section, we outline some of the central aspects of this productive interaction with the hope that it can serve as a guide for similar interactions between these communities in the much more challenging endeavor of reducing CO2 to fuels.

Hydrogenases catalyze the production of H2 from two protons and two electrons and the reverse reaction, the oxidation of H2.\(^6\) Structural studies of these enzymes have revealed that the active sites consist of organometallic centers containing two iron atoms (the [FeFe] hydrogenases, structure 1; see also Figure 1) or nickel and iron (the [NiFe] hydrogenases, structure 2). In addition, the most recently characterized hydrogenase contains a single iron coordinated to a guanlylypyridinol cofactor (structure 3). This enzyme, abbreviated Hmd, catalyzes the reversible transfer of a hydride from H2 to the methanogenic cofactor methenyltetrahydromethanopterin, reducing it to methyltetrahydromethanopterin.\(^7\) Unlike the [FeFe] and [NiFe] hydrogenases, it does not
contain redox cofactors and in this sense does not catalyze redox reactions of H₂ or protons. The active sites of 1-3 feature iron coordinated by carbonyl (CO) and thiolate ligands, and, in the two redox-active hydrogenases, cyanide. Hydride ligands have been directly observed in the [NiFe] hydrogenases. As noted above, the presence of organometallic active sites in the hydrogenase enzymes has led naturally to interactions between the biological and catalysis communities.

The cyanide and CO ligands in the active sites of hydrogenases are unusual for metalloenzymes. These ligands form strong bonds to transition metals in lower oxidation states, resulting in the formation of low-spin complexes. This feature is advantageous, because low-spin complexes interact most readily with H₂ to form hydride intermediates. Thus, nature’s use of CN and CO ligands in the active site of the hydrogenase can be understood in terms of ligand field effects familiar to inorganic chemists. These structural studies of the active sites of [FeFe] and [NiFe] hydrogenases have led the synthetic chemist to try to reproduce the ligand coordination set of these enzymes in organometallic complexes, and a large number of complexes containing CO, CN, and other strong field ligands such as phosphines and carbenes have been synthesized and evaluated for their catalytic activity. In general, these complexes exhibit catalytic activity for H₂ production, but only at very negative potentials, and their rates are slow as compared to those of the enzymes. However, these studies provide a useful baseline from which to assess structure/activity relationships.

An interesting structural feature of the active site of the [FeFe] hydrogenase is the presence of a three-atom bridge spanning the two bridging S atoms. It has been proposed that the two sulfur and three atom bridge is the 2-azapropane-1,3-dithiolate ligand, and the identity of the central atom of the dithiolate ligand as an N atom has been supported by spectroscopic studies. The pendant amine of the azadithiolate ligand is positioned close to the distal Fe atom ([Fe₆]). This pendant amine is ideally placed to assist in the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ by acting as a base, while the vacant coordination site on Fe acts as a Lewis acid. Because of the reversibility of this enzyme, the Lewis acidity or hydride acceptor ability of the Fe center and the proton acceptor ability of the pendant amine must be closely matched so that the free energy for H₂ addition to (or release from) the active site is nearly zero. In addition to assisting in the reversible heterolytic cleavage and formation of the H–H bond, the pendant amine is also thought to assist in the transfer of protons between the active site and a proton conduction channel that leads to the exterior of the enzyme.

The interpretation of the structure–activity relationships outlined in the preceding paragraphs has been supported and enhanced by studies of synthetic organometallic mimics. The diiron subunit of the six-iron H-cluster in [FeFe] hydrogenase, 1, exhibits structural features that are reminiscent of the classic propanedithiolate Fe₄Fe⁴ organometallic complex, (pdt)[Fe-(CO)₃]₂ (pdt = propane dithiolate, −S(CH₂)₂S−), 4. However, in the crystal structure of the enzyme, the active site is thought to be in a mixed-valent Fe²Fe⁴ oxidation state, and significant differences exist between structures 1 and 4. Of most importance is the presence of a vacant coordination site on the distal Fe atom adjacent to the azadithiolate bridge. This so-called entatic state or rotated structure has been observed for oxidized analogues of 4 in which CO ligands have been replaced with electron-rich phosphine or carbene ligands to stabilize the oxidized states. In the enzyme, the juxtaposition of the azadithiolate ligand and the vacant coordination site is stabilized by the presence of hydrogen bonds between the cyanide ligands and the protein. These hydrogen-bonding interactions also likely stabilize this geometry in the reduced form of the enzyme.

The kinetic product of protonation of diiron(1) dithiolates is a terminal hydride such as 5. For diiron complexes with a bridging dithiolate ligand, the pendant N atom of the azadithiolate complex plays an important role in exchange reactions between acids and bases in solution and terminal hydride ligands. In these exchange reactions, the positioning of the hydride ligand adjacent to the pendant amine is required for both proton/hydride exchange and for catalytic production and oxidation of H₂. Isomerization of the terminal hydride to the thermodynamically more stable bridging hydride 6 results in the cessation of proton/hydride exchange and catalysis.

The concept of a pendant amine adjacent to a vacant coordination site or hydride ligand has been extended to the development of electrocatalysts for the oxidation and production of H₂ using simple mononuclear complexes of Ni, Co, and Fe with diphosphine ligands containing positioned pendant amines as opposed to an azadithiolate ligand, for example, 7 and 8. Thus, the principles used by [FeFe] hydrogenases, the use of ligands with strong ligand fields, positioning of a pendant base in close proximity to a vacant coordination site, and energy matching of hydride donor/acceptor abilities of the metal with proton/donor–acceptor abilities of the pendant acid/base, appear to be broadly applicable to the design and development of electrocatalysts for H₂ oxidation and production based on a variety of inexpensive metals.

To this point, we have discussed primarily the roles of the first and second coordination spheres and their influence on catalytic activity in both enzymes and molecular catalysts, where the first coordination sphere is considered to be composed of those ligands immediately bound to the metal center and the second coordination sphere is defined as functional groups that can interact with substrates bound to the metal, but only weakly or not at all with the metal center itself. An additional feature, present in the enzymes and only beginning to be probed in synthetic catalysts, is the role of...
the outer coordination sphere, which is defined as that portion of the enzyme or metal complex not in the first or second coordination spheres. As discussed above, hydrogen bonding between the N atoms of the cyanide ligands and the protein may play an important role in controlling the structure of the active site. The protein can also influence the local environment by providing hydrophobic or hydrophilic contacts at precise locations and creating an environment with an optimal dielectric constant. Perhaps most interesting is the presence of molecular wires consisting of Fe$\text{S}_4$ clusters, proton conduction channels, and hydrophobic tunnels thought to facilitate H$_2$ movement through the protein matrix. These structural features, illustrated schematically in Figure 1, result in the precise delivery of substrates (protons, electrons, dihydrogen) to and removal of products from the active site. Because of these features, the hydrogenases are essentially half of a hydrogen fuel cell or electrolysis unit on the molecular scale. This high degree of control in molecular catalysis has not been achieved, but initial steps including the attachment of redox-active units to mimic the Fe$\text{S}_4$ clusters and secondary proton relays have been reported.$^{16}$

The rapid progress described above in understanding the relationship between structure and function in hydrogenases and in their mimics is the result of synergistic interactions between the catalytic inorganic chemists, structural scientists, spectroscopists, microbiologists, and biochemists. This understanding has resulted in significant advances in the development of new classes of simple molecular catalysts for H$_2$ production and oxidation. It is this type of constructive synergy that we hope will emerge in the development of new concepts that are applicable to the design of new catalysts for CO$_2$ reduction to a variety of fuels.

3. OVERVIEW OF THE BASIC CHEMISTRY AND BIOLOGY OF CO$_2$

3.1. Chemistry of CO$_2$

Carbon dioxide is a linear molecule with a very short C–O distance of 1.16 Å. Although overall nonpolar, CO$_2$ contains polar bonds due to the difference in electronegativity between C and O. Its electronic structure is best represented as O$^{−}$–C$^{2+}$–O$^{−}$, highlighting its susceptibility to nucleophilic attack at carbon and electrophilic attack at oxygen, often discussed in terms of its quadrupole moment.$^{17}$ With an ionization potential of 13.78 eV (vs 12.6 for water, 10.0 for ammonia), CO$_2$ is strongly localized wave function probabilities, enhancing the interaction with nucleophiles and facilitating the transfer of electron density from the nucleophile into the LUMO. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) with its strongly localized electron density as oxygen in-plane lone pairs is conducive to interactions with electrophiles. The energetic penalty associated with activating CO$_2$ is reflected in the very negative potential for its one electron reduction (see below). Correspondingly, in its bent form, CO$_2$ is predisposed to undergo two electron reduction rather than one. Reactions of CO$_2$ in biological systems tend to be supported by a combination of nucleophilic and electrophilic interactions, a principle that is increasingly embraced in chemical systems (eq 1). In the absence of these combined interactions, powerful electrophiles or nucleophiles are required.

$$\text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CO}^{•−}_2$$

(1)

The energetic requirements for CO$_2$ reduction are highly sensitive to pH and the number of electrons (eqs 2–7) for the half-reactions as shown below for pH 7 vs NHE. Recall that at pH 7 and 1 atm of H$_2$, the H$_2$/H$^+$ couple is −0.420 V.

$$\text{CO}_2(aq) + e^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_2^{•−}(aq) \quad E^o_1 = −1.9 \text{ V}$$

(2)

$$\text{CO}_2(g) + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{CO}(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^o_2 = −0.52 \text{ V}$$

(3)

$$\text{CO}_2(g) + \text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{HCO}_2^{−}(aq) \quad E^o_3 = −0.43 \text{ V}$$

(4)

$$\text{CO}_2(g) + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow \text{HCHO}(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^o_4 = −0.51 \text{ V}$$

(5)

$$\text{CO}_2(g) + 6\text{H}^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH}(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^o_5 = −0.38 \text{ V}$$

(6)

$$\text{CO}_2(g) + 8\text{H}^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_4(g) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^o_6 = −0.24 \text{ V}$$

(7)

One-electron reduction of CO$_2$ (eq 2) occurs at very negative potentials, due in part to the energy required for the large structural rearrangement from linear CO$_2$ to bent CO$_2^{•−}$. However, the coupled multielectron and multiproton reactions occur at relatively modest potentials (eqs 3–7). As they involve protons, reactions 3–7 are more favorable at low pH. These reactions are also highly solvent dependent. As found for the [Fe$\text{S}_4$] and [NiFe] hydrogenases, redox-active metal centers endowed with proton relay sites in their second coordination spheres are well suited to promote these proton-assisted multielectron transfer reactions.

Relative to other typical gases (H$_2$, N$_2$, O$_2$), CO$_2$ is highly soluble in water. The equilibrium constant between dissolved CO$_2$ and gaseous CO$_2$ above the solution (Hench constant) is 29.76 atm/(mol/L) at 25 °C (i.e., 0.033 M at 25 °C under 1
atm CO$_2$). In water, an equilibrium is established with carbonic acid, H$_2$CO$_3$. The equilibrium constant for hydration at 25 °C, [H$_2$CO$_3$]/[CO$_2$], is low at 1.70 × 10$^{-3}$. In the absence of a catalyst, equilibrium is achieved only slowly. The rate constants are 0.039 s$^{-1}$ for the forward reaction (CO$_2$ + H$_2$O → H$_2$CO$_3$) and 23 s$^{-1}$ for the reverse reaction (H$_2$CO$_3$ → CO$_2$ + H$_2$O). H$_2$CO$_3$ is a weak diprotic acid with pK$_a$ = 3.6 at 25 °C, about 10× stronger than typical carboxylic acids. In many reports, the pK$_a$ is calculated to include dissolved CO$_2$, which results in a lower apparent pK$_a$ = 6.3 at 25 °C. The second constant for the dissociation of the bicarbonate into the carbonate ion CO$_3^{3-}$ is characterized by pK$_a$ = 10.329 at 25 °C (all pK at ionic strength = 0.0). With atmospheric CO$_2$ approaching 400 ppm, the concentrations in water at 25 °C are calculated to be 1.2 × 10$^{-3}$ M for CO$_2$, 2 × 10$^{-8}$ M for H$_2$CO$_3$, 2.3 × 10$^{-6}$ M for HCO$_3^-$, and 10$^{-5.6}$ M for protons (pH = 5.6) at 25 °C. These equilibria are relevant to the threat of ocean acidification considering that the average pH of the oceans is presently near 8.1.$^1$

3.2. Biology of CO$_2$

Six pathways are known for the fixation of inorganic carbon into organic material used for cell biomass.$^{20}$ The reductive pentose phosphate (Calvin–Benson–Bassham) cycle,$^{20,21}$ is the predominant mechanism by which many prokaryotes and all plants fix CO$_2$ into biomass. This reaction begins with the ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO)-catalyzed carboxylation of the five-carbon sugar 1,5-ribulose bisphosphate to form two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate,$^{22}$ which undergoes a series of interconversions to form the six-carbon sugar fructose-1,6-bisphosphate. The substrate 1,5-ribulose bisphosphate is regenerated in the process. The net reaction catalyzed by the various enzymes in the Calvin cycle is given in eq 8.

\[
3\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{NADPH} + 5\text{H}_2\text{O} + 9\text{ATP} \\
\rightarrow 3\text{-phosphoglyceraldehyde} + 6\text{NADP} + 9\text{ADP} + 8\text{Pi}
\]

(8)

The net reaction for four of the other five CO$_2$-fixation pathways is: 8H$^+$ + 8e$^-$ + 2CO$_2$ + HSCoA → acetyl-CoA + 3H$_2$O. Among these pathways, the reductive acetyl-CoA pathway (Wood–Ljungdahl pathway)$^{25}$ is covered in greatest detail in this Review. This pathway is used by bacteria and methanogenic archaea to synthesize acetyl-CoA from CO$_2$ for anabolic (biosynthesis) and catabolic (energy) purposes. This pathway contains two CO$_2$ reduction steps: the formate (formate dehydrogenases) hydration to provide useful structural information about the nature of the active site. In the following discussion, a structural/mechanistic

The dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle$^{20}$ involves, in one stage, the seven-step conversion of acetyl-CoA, CO$_2$, and bicarbonate through four-carbon dicarboxylic acids to succinyl-CoA, which undergoes the seven-step conversion to two molecules of acetyl-CoA. The carboxylation steps occur in the first stage and are catalyzed by pyruvate synthase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase.

The 3-hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle$^{20}$ is very similar to the dicarboxylate/4-hydroxybutyrate cycle in that it shares the seven-step conversion of succinyl-CoA to two molecules of acetyl-CoA. The difference is in how succinyl-CoA is regenerated from acetyl-CoA. In this cycle, succinyl-CoA is regenerated by the nine-step conversion of acetyl-CoA and two molecules of bicarbonate to succinyl-CoA. The two carboxylation reactions involved in the conversion of acetyl-CoA to succinyl-CoA in the 3-hydroxypropionate cycle are catalyzed by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (acetyl-CoA + HCO$_3^-$ + ATP → malonyl-CoA + ADP + P$_i$) and propionyl-CoA carboxylase (propionyl-CoA + HCO$_3^-$ + ATP → methylmalonyl-CoA + ADP + P$_i$).

The 3-hydroxypropionate bicycle$^{20}$ is a pathway named because one cycle involves carboxylation of propionyl-CoA followed by the seven-step conversion of methyl-malonyl-CoA to glyoxylate and acetyl-CoA. The carboxylation step is catalyzed by propionyl-CoA carboxylase (propionyl-CoA + ATP + HCO$_3^-$ → methylmalonyl-CoA + ADP + P$_i$). The other cycle involves the condensation of glyoxylate (formed in the first cycle) with propionyl-CoA to generate methyl-malyl-CoA, which is converted in four steps to pyruvate and acetyl-CoA. To regenerate propionyl-CoA, the acetyl-CoA that was formed in the two cycles undergoes carboxylation in a reaction catalyzed by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ATP + acetyl-CoA + HCO$_3^-$ → malonyl-CoA + ADP + P$_i$) to form malonyl-CoA, which undergoes two electron-reduction steps to form hydroxypyruvate and finally propionyl-CoA. The net equation for this bicycle is given in eq 9.

\[
10\text{H}^+ + 10\text{e}^- + 3\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{pyruvic acid} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

(9)

Here, we have described these six CO$_2$ fixation pathways and highlighted the enzymes and reactions involved in the carboxylation of various sugars, CoA esters, and carboxylic acids and in the reduction of CO$_2$ (to CO and formate). There are numerous other enzymatic CO$_2$ reduction reactions, some of which are also covered in this Review. Two examples include methanogenesis, which involves the eight-electron reduction of CO$_2$ to methane$^{26}$ and the folate-dependent one-carbon pathway, which involves the conversion of CO$_2$ to methyltetrahydrofolate, a key component of the Wood–Ljungdahl pathway and the biosynthesis of methionine.

4. BIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL REDUCTION OF CO$_2$ TO CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) OR FORMATE (HCOO$^-$)

4.1. Overview

Enzymes have been identified that catalyze the reversible reduction of CO$_2$ to CO (CO dehydrogenases) or CO$_2$ to formate (formate dehydrogenases). X-ray diffraction studies of both classes have been reported at sufficiently high resolution to provide useful structural information about the nature of the active site. In the following discussion, a structural/mechanistic
approach will be used to highlight similarities and differences between enzymes and synthetic catalysts. As with the hydrogenases, we will attempt to understand the roles of the first, second, and outer coordination spheres in the overall catalytic process. The CO dehydrogenases and synthetic catalysts for CO2 reduction will be discussed first, followed by formate dehydrogenases and their synthetic analogues. One of the interesting issues to consider is how the two types of enzymes control the binding of CO2 and the transfer of electrons and protons to partition intermediates on the reduction pathway toward CO or its hydrated analogue, formate.

Both formic acid and CO contain “divalent carbon”. Formic acid can be dehydrated to CO, and through the reverse reaction, CO can be converted to formate in the presence of hydroxide. In some chemical syntheses, formic acid can be used as a surrogate for CO, for example, in the Koch formylation of arenes. Industrially, formic acid is not produced by reduction of CO2, but instead by the carbonylation of methanol followed by the hydrolysis of the resulting methyl formate.

4.2. CO Dehydrogenases

There are two types of CO dehydrogenases (CODHs), enzymes that catalyze the reduction of CO2 to CO. The first of these is the O2-sensitive enzyme from obligate anaerobes such as *Moorella thermoacetica*, *Carboxythermus hydrogenoformans*, and *Methanosarcina barkerii* with [Fe4S4Ni] active sites. These enzymes exhibit turnover frequencies for CO oxidation as high as 40 000 s−1 (at 70 °C using methyl viologen cation as oxidant) and 45 s−1 for CO2 oxidation. These enzymes also operate at potentials near the thermodynamic potential of the CO2/CO couple, −0.52 V vs the SHE at pH 7.2. Air-stable [MoScu]-containing enzymes comprise the second class of CODHs. These occur in aerobes such as *Oligotropha carboxidovorans*. These enzymes exhibit lower turnover frequencies, for example, 100 s−1 for CO oxidation. The Cu–Mo CODHs do not catalyze the reduction of CO2 to CO, probably because the copper(I) center is insufficiently reducing. Both enzymes contain bimetallic active sites, featuring metal centers that are both soft (Ni, Cu) and hard (high-spin Fe, Mo(VI)). Because of the different metals at their active sites, it is expected that the mechanisms of CO oxidation for these two classes differ, and the differences and similarities of these enzymes and of their synthetic models are discussed in more detail below.

4.2.1. [NiFe] CO Dehydrogenases.

Of special importance to our understanding of the catalytic mechanism of CO2 reduction of the [NiFe] CODHs has been a series of spectroscopic and structural studies of the oxidized and reduced forms of the enzyme with different substrates and inhibitors. The active site of the oxidized enzyme, which is shown in Figure 3 and schematically by structure 9 in Scheme 1, consists of Ni and Fe centers bridged by an Fe3S4 cluster that rigidly positions these two metal centers in close proximity. In this state, a coordinatively unsaturated Ni species binds three S ligands in an apparent planar T-shaped environment, which is unusual in synthetic compounds, and may suggest the presence of a hydride ligand. The first coordination sphere of the Fe1 center consists of a histidine ligand (H261), a cysteine (C295), a μ3-sulfido ligand, and a fourth light atom, possibly water/ hydroxide. This fourth ligand is also in close proximity (2.7 Å) to Ni. The close proximity of the Ni and Fe atoms and the apparent vacant coordination site on Ni suggests the likelihood of cooperative interactions between the two metal centers during catalysis, an expectation borne out by the structure of the CO2-bound form of the enzyme.

X-ray diffraction studies of crystals treated with bicarbonate ion and a reducing agent, TtIII citrate, revealed an active site, 10, that is nearly identical to structure 9, with the exception of three light atoms bridging the Ni and Fe atoms. These three atoms have been modeled as a bridging CO2 molecule. In addition, NMR studies of a CO/CO2 exchange reaction provide evidence both for the involvement of a CO2 binding site and for an internal proton transfer network in catalysis by CODH. On the basis of the crystal structure, CO2 binds to Ni via the C atom to form a Ni–C bond (1.96 Å) and with one of the carboxylate oxygen atoms (O1) bound to Fe1 (Fe1–O1 distance of 2.05 Å), as well as forming a hydrogen bond to a lysine residue (K563). The second (exocyclic) oxygen atom, O2, appears to be hydrogen bonded with a protonated histidine residue (H93). Thus, CO2 binding and catalysis in the enzyme appears to involve bifunctional activation by the two metal centers and additional stabilization from appropriately positioned residues in the second coordination sphere. This activation pathway is reminiscent of the “frustrated Lewis acid–base pair” motif whereby simple Lewis acids and bases cooperate in binding CO2, for example, formation of R3B(=O–C(O)=PR3). It is also similar in many respects to the binding and heterolytic activation of H2 by hydrogenase enzymes and synthetic catalysts with pendant amines, which also involve frustrated Lewis acid–base pairs.

Further information on possible modes of interaction of the active site with CO2 has been provided by biochemical experiments and X-ray diffraction studies of crystals of the enzyme inhibited by n-butylic isocyanate (BuNCO). This species (structure 11) was obtained by treating CODH with n-butylic isonitrile. The Ni is bound to the isocyanate ligand via a C atom, but the isocyanate ligand does not interact with Fe. Ni adopts a distorted tetrahedral geometry as opposed to the distorted square-planar geometry observed in the CO2 adduct. The Ni–C bond length (1.95 Å) is essentially the same as that observed for the CO2 adduct (10). An elongated Ni–Fe distance of 3.10 Å is observed, indicating that this linkage is somewhat flexible. Histidine 93 (H93) and the hydroxide/water molecule bound to Fe1 are hydrogen bonded to the oxygen atom of the isocyanate ligand, stabilizing the interaction between this CO3 analogue and Ni. This observation suggests
that H93 toggles between the two oxygen atoms of CO₂ during the catalytic cycle as Ni is oxidized or reduced and water or hydroxide coordinates or dissociates. The isocyanate complex is proposed to mimic an intermediate in the catalytic cycle prior to formation of the Ni–O–C–O–Fe bridge in CO₂ reduction.

Spectroscopic and kinetic studies indicate that cyanide, which is isoelectronic with CO, is a slow-binding inhibitor that binds to CODH to form at least two separate complexes. Crystallographic results on the cyanide adduct of the [NiFe] CODH are consistent with biochemical studies. Two slightly different structures were found, one with a linear and a second with a bent NiCN centers. In the latter structure, there is a water/hydroxide ligand bound to Fe1 with a possible hydrogen bond between the N atom of the CN ligand and water or hydroxide, while in the former, shown in structure 12, the water/hydroxide is absent. The NiCN center is proposed to resemble the NiCO center prior to its undergoing nucleophilic attack by the Fe-bound hydroxide to form the nickel-acarboxylate (NiCO₂⁻) described above.

On the basis of these kinetic, spectroscopic, and structural studies, the mechanism shown in Scheme 1 can be proposed for CO₂ reduction by [NiFe] CODH. An overall two-electron process likely occurs via an ECE mechanism: an electron transfer step (E) to form NiI, followed by a chemical step (C) involving binding of CO₂ to the reduced nickel center, and finally a second electron transfer step (E). Other pathways may also be possible, but regardless of the precise sequence, the resulting CO₂ adduct is stabilized by hydrogen-bonding interactions with a protonated histidine residue as shown for the isocyanate structure 11. Loss of water from Fe1 results in the formation of a CO₂ complex 10, in which one oxygen of the CO₂ molecule, O1, is bound to Fe1 and is hydrogen bonding with a protonated lysine residue K563. Cleavage of the C–O1 bond and loss of water results in the formation of a NiII CO species that is analogous to the cyanide structure, 12. This NiII CO species readily loses CO and adds water to regenerate the starting NiII complex and complete the catalytic cycle.

4.2.2. Studies of Metal Electrocatalysts Relevant to [NiFe] CO Dehydrogenases. Since the 1980s, CoI and NiI macrocyclic complexes of ligands 13–16 (Chart 1) have been examined in some detail as electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction, and a number of reviews describe this chemistry. The participation of CoI and NiI centers is distinctive as they are
strong one-electron reductants. The CO2 binding constants span a large range, from less than 1 to 108 M−1,40a,41 and both the binding constants and the second-order rate constants largely correlate with the MII/I reduction potentials in organic solvents. Solvent effects can be large,40a,41b and water exerts an especially large effect, which may be due to hydrogen bonding to the CO2 ligand.

In addition to this intermolecular hydrogen bonding, intramolecular hydrogen bonding to ligand NH protons has been demonstrated for a Co−CO2 adduct, 17, where the Co center donates two electrons to the bound CO2 to form a CoIII-carboxylate.41a,42 In nonpolar solvents such as tetrahydrofuran (THF), ion pairing is important.43 For example, the binding constant of [Co(7,7′-dimethylsalen)]−1 for CO2 in THF is over 100 times larger when NaCF3SO3 is the supporting electrolyte as compared to [Bu4N]CF3SO3.41b These observations indicate that the binding of CO2 is controlled by a combination of inner sphere (redox potential, nucleophilicity) and secondary interactions (hydrogen bonding, ion pairing). The stabilizing effects of hydrogen bonding and ionic interactions observed for these discrete complexes are similar to the hydrogen-bonding interactions inferred for the active sites of [NiFe] CO dehydrogenases.

Highly active molecular electrocatalysts for reduction of CO2 to CO are the complexes [Pd(triphosphine)(solvent)]2+ shown in Scheme 2 (triphosphine = RP(CH2CH2PR′)2, where R and R′ can be aryl or alkyl substituents).44 The catalytic mechanism shown has been studied in detail, and it illustrates important steps that are common to many catalysts known to reduce CO2 to CO, as well as some unique features that are also present in the [NiFe] CODH enzymes. To simplify discussion of this catalytic cycle, four critical steps are emphasized: electron transfer to reduce PdII, CO2 binding to the PdI center, C−O bond cleavage resulting in coordinated CO and dissociation of CO.

4.2.2.1. Electron Transfer. In the process of reducing CO2 to CO, two electrons must be transferred. In Scheme 2, one electron transfer occurs immediately before CO2 binding, and the second electron transfer occurs two steps later, after protonation of the bound CO2. Clearly the two electron transfer steps are not concerted, but are regulated or gated by intervening chemical steps. The intervention of chemical steps between electron transfer steps is a general observation for electrocatalyzed reductions of CO2. Because of this gating mechanism, concerted two-electron reductions of the metal center are not required for efficient catalysis.

4.2.2.2. CO2 Binding in Monofunctional Catalysts. In Scheme 2, the reduction of PdII to PdI (step 1) is immediately followed by CO2 binding (step 2) to form a metal carboxylate complex, M−CO2. For the Pd(triphosphine)(solvent)2+ catalysts shown in Scheme 2, the reaction of PdII with CO2 is the rate-determining step at high acid concentrations (greater than 0.01 M) with second-order rate constants ranging from 5 to 300 M−1 s−1 corresponding to catalytic turnover frequencies of 1−60 s−1 under 1.0 atm of CO2 in acetonitrile or dimethylformamide.44a As with the NiI and CoI complexes discussed above, the rate constants for the reaction of the PdII intermediates with CO2 are dependent on the potential of the PdII/I couple, and a linear dependence is observed between ln(k) and E1/2(II/I).44c The first two steps in Scheme 2, electron-transfer and CO2 binding, are also common to macrocyclic Fe, Co, and Ni catalysts. One-electron reductions are followed by reaction with CO2.39b,45 However, for these Fe, Co, and Ni macrocycles, which operate at much more negative potentials than the Pd phosphine complexes, the rate-determining step is not the reaction of CO2 with the reduced metal species. For example, the rate of reaction of Co(rac-L) (L = 16) with CO2 is 1.7 × 108 M−1 s−1,41a but the overall catalytic rates are on the order of a few turnovers s−1. Similarly, the reduced Fe tetraphenylporphyrin complexes react very rapidly with CO2, but generation of the reduced forms of these catalysts requires very negative potentials. CO2 binding is not generally the rate-determining step for catalysts reduced at very negative potentials.5a−c,e−h However, for catalysts operating at more positive potentials (lower overpotentials) such as the Pd catalysts shown in Scheme 2, CO2 binding can become rate limiting.

4.2.2.3. C−O Bond Cleavage (Oxide Transfer). Once CO2 has added to the reduced metal complex, C−O bond cleavage must occur to form CO, as observed in Scheme 1 for [NiFe] CODH. This fundamental reaction was shown to occur stoichiometrically upon reaction of reduced complexes of CO2 with even weak proton donors. In a classic example, treatment of Ni(η2-CO2)(P(C6H11)3)2 with H2S gives CO complexes.62,64c This process normally begins with O-protonation of the bound CO2 molecule to form a metalcarboxylic acid57 (step 3 of Scheme 2). Alternatively, metal carboxylates can also interact with a Lewis acid such as Na+ or Mg2+, or even a second molecule of CO2.45−h For the cobalt and nickel macrocyclic catalysts, CO2 is the ultimate oxide acceptor resulting in carbonate salts and CO formation. The C−O bond cleavage may be the rate-determining step for some of these macrocyclic Co and Ni catalysts.45d,48

For the [Pd(triphosphine)(solvent)]2+ complexes shown in Scheme 2, the metalcarboxylic acid formed in step 3 does not spontaneously undergo C−O bond cleavage. Before C−O bond cleavage can be achieved, an additional electron transfer (step 4), solvent dissociation (step 5), and a second protonation (step 6) are required. In this reaction sequence, the loss of a weakly coordinated solvent molecule (step 5) is critical. This produces a vacant site on the metal for water to occupy as the C−O bond is broken to form coordinated CO and water (step 7).44b This C−O bond cleavage reaction is the
rate-determining step for these catalysts at low acid concentrations, as it is for Fe( porphyrin) catalysts at low acid concentrations (H+, Mg2+, or CO2). In the Fe porphyrin case, catalysis occurs at approximately −2.0 V vs the ferrocenium/ferrocene couple, much more negative than those of the [Pd(triphosphine)(solvent)] complexes (−1.1 to −1.4 V). This more negative potential facilitates the loss of water (or carbonate) and cleavage of the C−O bond for the Fe catalysts. In the Fe porphyrin case, a vacant coordination site is not required to achieve high catalytic rates, but large overpotentials are observed as a result. The role of a vacant coordination site for C−O bond cleavage for the Pd catalysts suggests that similar vacant coordination sites on Ni and Fe for the [NiFe] CODH active site, 9, are important for facile C−O bond cleavage by the enzyme.

4.2.2.4. M−CO Bond Cleavage. Once the C−O bond has been cleaved, completion of the catalytic cycle requires CO dissociation. Cleavage of the M−CO bond of the metal carbonyl complex is rapid for both [Pd(triphosphine)- (solvent)] and Fe porphyrin catalysts. For the palladium system, the Pd and Pd species formed during the catalytic cycle have little affinity for CO. For the iron porphyrin system, CO is rapidly expelled upon reduction of the ferrous center. Studies of Co(salophen) catalysts suggest that the loss of CO is a possible rate-determining step. It has been shown that a [Co(salophen)(CO)] complex is formed during the catalytic cycle, and that the release of CO from these complexes is slow. For [Co(rac-Me114,11-diene)(CO)]+, the rate of CO loss has been reported to be 3 s−1 in water. These cobalt complexes, either the cleavage of the Co−C bond or the cleavage of the C−O bond may be the rate-determining step, but the slow loss of CO provides an upper limit to the rate at which these catalysts can operate.

4.2.2.5. Bifunctional Binding and Activation of CO2. The rate-limiting step for catalysis by the [Pd(triphosphine)-(MeCN)] complexes under normal operating conditions is the binding of CO2. To facilitate formation of the CO2 adduct, complex 18 was designed to interact with CO2 in a bifunctional manner. In this bimetallic complex, one Pd atom is proposed to bind the carbon atom of CO2, and a second Pd binds one of the oxygen centers as shown by structure 19 (eq 10). Complex 18 shows high catalytic rates (k > 104 M−1 s−1) for CO2 reduction to CO, and it shares some interesting structural features with the [NiFe] CODH 9 and the reduced form plus CO2 10. These include vacant coordination sites on both metals that may be important for C−O bond cleavage and two positioned sites for bifunctional binding of CO2. Although very fast, catalyst 18 exhibits only a few turnovers before it is deactivated. Deactivation is thought to arise by formation of a Pd−Pd bond, a reaction that is less likely for first row metals. In addition, the different redox potentials of the Fe and Ni sites in [NiFe] CODH would prevent M−M bond formation as the Fe will not be reduced at potentials that reduce Ni.

The formation of relevant Ni-CO2H derivatives has been described, but via an CO + Ni−OH pathway (eq 11), not via CO2 + Ni−H pathway, which typically affords formates.

An iron porphyrin complex with a hydroxyl functional group in the second coordination sphere has been reported to catalyze the electrochemical reduction of CO2 to CO in acidic dimethylformamide solutions with moderate overpotentials. In this case, the reduced Fe center may serve as a nucleophile, while the proton of the pendant hydroxyl group serves as a proton donor to assist the cleavage of the C−O bond.

4.2.3. [MoCu] CO Dehydrogenases. The second class of CODHs features a unique Mo−Cu active site. The main structural features of the oxidized and reduced forms of the active site based on X-ray diffraction studies are depicted by structures 21 and 22, and of the active site in the presence of n-butylinosinolate by structure 23 (Chart 2).

Chart 2. Structures of the Oxidized (21) and Reduced (22) States of the [MoCu]−CODH and a n-Butylisocyanide Inhibited Form (23)

oxidized [MoCu] CODH, 21, contains a dinuclear [CuSOMo(− O)(OH)] metal center with a copper ion bridged via a sulfide ligand to a molybdenum oxo group. The Mo atom exhibits a distorted square pyramidal geometry with the oxo group in the apical position. In addition to the bridging S atom, two sulfur atoms of the molybdopterin cytosine dinucleotide cofactor coordinate to the Mo ion. A hydroxo group is thought to
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Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanisms for the Oxidation of CO to CO$_2$ by [MoCu] CODH$^a$

$^a$A: Mechanism implicated by the structure of the $n$-BuNC-inhibited enzyme. B: Mechanism involving CO coordination to Cu and attack of Mo—O(H) on C atom of carbonyl.

complete the coordination sphere. The second coordination sphere of Mo contains several residues that are within hydrogen-bonding distances of the oxo and hydroxo ligands. The Cu$^+$ ion exhibits a linear two-coordinate geometry with a second sulfur atom from a cysteine residue completing its first coordination sphere. The reduced form of the active site retains the same overall geometry, but with a lengthening of the Cu—Mo distance and the Mo—O bond distances to the oxo and hydroxy ligands.

In the $n$-butyronitrile-inhibited enzyme, the C atom of the isonitrile has inserted into the Cu—S bond concomitant with formation of a C—O bond to the terminal OH ligand. The insertion of the RNC group into the Cu—S bond results in an increase in the Cu—Mo distance from 3.74 to 5.07 Å. The N atom of isonitrile is bound to Cu, which remains in a linear coordination environment, ligated to the N atom of $n$-butyronitrile and the S atom of Cys-388. Studies on the $n$-BuNC-inhibited enzyme point to the mechanism A (shown on the left of Scheme 3) for the CO oxidation pathway. In this scheme, the reaction of a Mo$^{V}$ oxo/hydroxy species with CO leads to the formation of the reduced Mo$^{IV}$ state with a thiocarbonate insertion product analogous to that observed for the isonitrile adduct 23. This thiocarbonate intermediate then loses CO$_2$ to regenerate the reduced form of the active site, a Mo$^{IV}$ species (22). Oxidation of 22 by two electrons regenerates the oxidized form of the active site 21.

Some caution must be exercised regarding this mechanism, because it is possible that the $n$-BuNC derivative is simply a thermodynamic trap that is not relevant to the CO/CO$_2$ interconversion. It is well-known that Cu$^+$ species form adducts with CO, and the stretching frequencies, $\nu_{\text{CO}}$, for such adducts are generally high, often near 2100 cm$^{-1}$. This suggests the possibility of mechanism B (shown on the right in Scheme 3) in which CO coordinates to copper followed by nucleophilic attack of a Mo—OH group on the Cu—CO center. This alternate mechanism has been proposed for MoCu—CODH, based on theoretical calculations, and would entail less structural change of the Mo—S—Cu subunit during catalysis. An interesting feature of either mechanism is that, although Mo undergoes a change in oxidation state, unlike Ni in the [NiFe] CODH, Mo does not bind CO$_2$ via a Mo—C bond.

4.2.4. Synthetic Models of [MoCu] CO Dehydrogenases. Structural models of [MoCu] CODHs are available, for example, the formally Mo$^{V}$Cu$^{+}$ complex 24 (R = t-Bu, R’ = i-Pr). The EPR spectrum of this formally Mo$^{V}$Cu$^{+}$ species indicates that the singly occupied molecular orbital contains significant Cu character, accounting for the large Cu hyperfine coupling constants observed. Functional models of [MoCu] CODH containing both Mo and Cu have proven challenging. Copper(1) readily forms 2-coordinate Cu(SR)$_2$ sites, but synthetic compounds with molybdenyl-centers linked to such coordinatively unsaturated 2-coordinated copper centers remain elusive.55,56

4.3. Formate Dehydrogenases and Related Synthetic Catalysts

4.3.1. Metal-Independent Formate Dehydrogenases. The most prevalent class of formate dehydrogenases are NAD$^+$-dependent. They play an important role in the energy conversion reactions of methylotrophic aerobic bacteria, fungi, and plants.57 These enzymes are thought to function by a direct hydride transfer from the C atom of formate to the C4 atom of the pyridine ring of NAD$^+$, with hydride ion transfer being the rate-limiting step in the mechanism.58 Formate (and the competing substrate azide) and NAD$^+$ are positioned in close proximity to facilitate hydride transfer. In the 1.1 Å structure, NAD$^+$ is observed to adopt its bipolar conformation, which increases partial positive charge and electrophilicity of the C4 atom of the coenzyme and, thus, facilitates the hydride ion transfer.57a In vivo this reaction proceeds irreversibly.

This class of enzymes is characterized by the fact that both the proton and two electrons, in the form of a hydride, are transferred together from one site directly to another site. Azide (N$_3^-$), which is virtually isostructural with CO$_2$, is a transition state analogue of both metal free and molybdenum formate dehydrogenases.58b In contrast, the Mo- and W-containing formate dehydrogenase enzymes oxidize formate by the transfer of two electrons to the Mo/W centers, concomitant with
proton transfer to a cysteine or selenocysteine residue or a histidine residue of the protein.59 These Mo and W FDH enzymes will be discussed in more detail below.

4.3.2. Metal Complexes That Catalyze CO2 Reduction to Formate via Hydride Transfer. The direct reaction of metal hydrides and carbon dioxide has been studied to form either formate complexes or free formate.60 Quantitative studies of the factors controlling the hydride donor abilities of transition metal hydrides have been reported15a and such studies will be important for further understanding of formate production catalysts of this type. Other studies have suggested formyl (MC(O)H) complexes as hydride donors in the reduction of CO2 to formate,61 and some formyl complexes are sufficiently good hydride donors to transfer a hydride to CO2.60,62 Whether the hydride ligand is transferred from a metal center or a C atom of a formyl complex, these transition metal complexes share the common mechanistic feature of hydride transfer with the NAD-dependent FDH enzymes.

An Ir complex of an anionic PCP pincer ligand, Ir(PCP)-H2(MeCN), is a highly active electrocatalyst (kcat = 20(2) s−1 at 25 °C) that is selective for the reduction of CO2 to formate, producing only small amounts of H2 and CO (Scheme 4). It is also noted that solvent (water—acetonitrile) participates, by displacing the formate ligand, regenerating the electroactive species.63

Scheme 4. Proposed Mechanism for Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO2 to HCOO− by Ir(PCP)H2 (R = t-Bu, L = MeCN)63

4.3.3. Catalysts with Redox-Active Ligands in CO2 Reduction. A number of molecular electrocatalysts for CO2 reduction contain redox-active 2,2′-bipyridine (bipy) ligands. These ligands provide sites for electron transfer separate from the metal binding site for the CO2. An example of a proposed catalytic cycle for formate production is shown by reactions 12–16 (Scheme 5) for cis-[Ru(bipy)2(CO)H]+.64 In reaction 12, one-electron reduction occurs at a bipyridine ligand enhancing the electron density on the hydride ligand and promoting insertion of CO2 into the M–H bond (reaction 13). A second one-electron reduction of the resulting formate complex (reaction 14) leads to loss of the formate ion (reaction 15). Protonation of the resulting neutral complex by water (reaction 16) completes the cycle. A key feature of this catalytic cycle is the redox “innocence” of bipy. The hydride complex of the radical anionic ligand complex, although coordinatively saturated, displays sufficiently enhanced nucleophilicity to attack CO2 to give the formate complex. The formate is labilized in the complex with two radical anionic ligands resulting in the cleavage of the Ru–O bond.

The related bis(bipy) complexes [Ru(bipy)2(CO)]+ or [Ru(bipy)2(CO)(Cl)]+ are also effective electrocatalysts for CO2 reduction. In a CO2-saturated H2O (pH 6.0)/DMF (9:1 v/v) solution at −1.5 V (vs SCE), the catalysts generate CO together with H2. Under more basic conditions (aqueous phase at pH 9.5), nearly equivalent amounts of formate and CO together with H2 are produced.65 These catalysts require only a single coordination site, as illustrated by the catalyst [Ru(bipy)(terpy)(solvent)]+ (where terpy is 2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine).66 It has also been shown that the formation of Ru(bipy)(CO)2 polymers on electrode surface can result in catalytically active electrodes for CO formation.67 Analogous third row transition metal complexes such as [Os(bipy)2(CO)(H)]+ catalyze the reduction of CO2 to CO in dry acetonitrile and a mixture of CO and formate in wet acetonitrile solution.68 Although the detailed mechanisms of these Ru and Os catalysts remain uncertain, it is clear from these studies that the nature of the environment, including the proton source and the solvent, plays an important role in controlling the product distribution. The complexes Re(bipy)(CO)X (X = halides, phosphines, solvents and bipy = 2,2′-bipyridine and related ligands) represent another family of catalysts with redox-active ligands for electrochemical and photochemical CO2 reduction.69 For these catalysts, one- and two-electron pathways have been proposed for CO2 reduction where the first reduction is ligand centered and the second reduction is metal-based40d,69b. While the one-electron pathway is slow, the two-electron pathway via a doubly reduced species is fast; however, the two-electron pathway requires a large overpotential.

4.3.4. The Mo- and W-Formate Dehydrogenases. A second class of formate dehydrogenases contains molybdenum or tungsten centers in their active sites. These highly oxygen-sensitive enzymes can catalyze both the oxidation of formate (with rates as high as 3400 s−1 at pH 7.5) and the reduction of CO2 (with rates as high as 280 s−1).59 The structures of several of these enzymes have been reported.70 The oxidized form of the active sites contains W(VI) or Mo(VI) ions in a distorted trigonal prismatic geometry with four S atoms contributed by
two pyranopterin ligands, a sulfur atom from a cysteine residue or a selenium atom from a selenocysteine residue, and either a sulfur or an oxygen atom in the sixth coordination site (structure 25 of Scheme 6). The oxidation state of the molybdenum or tungsten is Mo(VI) or W(VI), respectively.

Scheme 6. Proposed Mechanism for Reduction of CO2 to HCOO− by Selenium- and Molybdenum-Dependent Formate Dehydrogenases
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arginine and histidine residues close to the metal center that likely participate in the catalytic reaction. The structure of the active site in the reduced form of the enzyme features a square pyramidal Mo or W center coordinated to four sulfur atoms from two pyranopterin ligands in the basal plane and a fifth ligand that is thought to be a sulfur atom in an apical position; see structure 26 of Scheme 6. The selenocysteine ligand that was coordinated to the Mo(VI) center in the oxidized form is no longer coordinated, and it is found to be 12 Å away from the Mo center.

Binding of nitrite, a known inhibitor, to the oxidized form of the molybdenum enzyme results in a structure with one oxygen atom of the nitrite ligand bound to Mo, while the other is hydrogen bonded to an arginine residue, suggesting that these functional groups play similar roles in the binding of formate (structure 27 of Scheme 6). Modeling of formate in this active site places the proton of the formate ligand in close contact with a histidine residue. It is thought that the arginine residue assists in orienting the formate ligand for proton removal/delivery by the histidine residue. The role of the histidine residue in proton transfer to and from formate is supported by EPR data.

An alternative mechanistic pathway involves the transfer of the proton to the selenocysteine residue followed by transfer to histidine. Kinetic isotope effects for the oxidation of HCOO− and DCOO− have been interpreted in terms of a primary isotope effect resulting from cleavage of the C–H bond. These structural, spectroscopic, and kinetic studies suggest the proposed mechanism shown in Scheme 6 with the rate-determining step being the formation/cleavage of the C–H bond. This C–H bond formation/cleavage step involves a coupled two-electron/one-proton transfer reaction. In contrast to the hydride transfer mechanism of the NAD-dependent FDH enzymes, the Mo- and W-containing enzymes transfer the two electrons and the proton to different sites. The two electrons from the C–H bond are transferred to (or from) the Mo or W centers, and the proton is transferred to (or from) a N of the imidazole ring (or possibly selenium). These electron- and proton-transfer processes are almost certainly coupled in the thermodynamic sense, and they may be concerted.

4.3.5. Metal Complexes That Catalyze the Reduction of CO2 to Formate via Coupled Proton and Electron Transfers. Insights into the formation and oxidation of formate have come from studies on complexes of the type [Ni(P2NR2)2]2+. The oxidized state, [Ni(P2NR2)2]2+ (28), adopts a coordinatively unsaturated square planar (or weakly solvated trigonal bipyramidal) structure. Reduction by two electrons converts these square planar complexes to tetrahedral species (29). Complex 28 catalyzes the oxidation of formate to CO2. The acetate-bound oxidized state represents a kinetically stabilized model of the formate adduct. Crystallographic analysis of this acetate adduct indicates that formate binds through a single oxygen to form a trigonal bipyramidal species (30). These catalysts exhibit Michaelis-Menten kinetics, saturating at high formate concentrations. The turnover frequencies increase (from less than 1 to 16 s−1) as the pKa values of the conjugate acid of the pendant bases increase, and the kinetic isotope effects (HCO2-/DCO2−) are normal, between 3 and 7. Collectively, these observations suggest that the rate-limiting step for these complexes is similar to the Mo and W FDH enzymes. This slow step involves a proton transfer from formate to the N atom of the pendant amine and a coupled transfer of two electrons to the Ni center, as shown by the proposed transition state structure 31. Although 31 involves a Ni center as opposed to Mo or W centers for the FDH enzymes shown in Scheme 6, it appears that the mechanisms of C–H bond cleavage for the synthetic systems and the enzymes are similar, again illustrating the importance of bifunctional activation of the substrate.

4.3.6. Homogeneous Catalysts for CO2 Hydrogenation. Hydrogenation of CO2 is another pathway for reducing CO2 to formate using synthetic catalysts, but it does not appear to be a pathway utilized in biology. Although H2 gas at 1.0 atm pressure is a sufficiently strong reductant to convert CO2 to formic acid, high hydrogen pressures and/or bases are used to drive the formation of formate. A number of catalysts have been studied for CO2 hydrogenation, and some of these are listed in Table 1 together with the conditions and additives used.
Table 1. Catalyst Precursors and Conditions for CO2 Hydrogenation to Formate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst Precursor</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Additives</th>
<th>H2/CO2 (atm)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>TON</th>
<th>TOF (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(PNPy)IrH4</td>
<td>H₂O, KOH, THF</td>
<td>25/25</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300 000</td>
<td>150 000</td>
<td>75n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuCl(OAc)(PMe₃)₁₂</td>
<td>scCO₂, NEt₃, C₆F₅OH</td>
<td>120/50</td>
<td>31 667</td>
<td>95 000</td>
<td>75o</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PNPy)IrH4</td>
<td>H₂O, KOH, THF</td>
<td>29/29</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7 300 000</td>
<td>75n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CrIr(phen)(C₆H₆)]Cl</td>
<td>H₂O, KOH</td>
<td>29/29</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>222 000</td>
<td>75b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CrIr(OH)(6HBPY)]²⁺</td>
<td>H₂O, KOH</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>348 000</td>
<td>14 500</td>
<td>75l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CrIr(OH)(THBPM)]²⁺</td>
<td>H₂O, KOH</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3 500 000</td>
<td>73 000</td>
<td>75n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PNPy)IrH₂(O₂CH)</td>
<td>H₂O, KOH, THF</td>
<td>27/27</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>3 500 000</td>
<td>73 000</td>
<td>75n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DBU = 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, dppm = CH₂(PMe₃)₂, P₃P = triopodal tetrathosphine, dppb = Ph₃P(CH₂)₉Ph₃P, dce = 1,2-C₂H₂[P(C₆H₄-3-SO₃Na)₂], cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, NHC = N-heterocyclic carbene ligand, tppms = P(C₆H₄-3-SO₃Na)₃, phen = 9,10-phenanthroline, FeCl₂(dppm)Mo(CO)₂C₆H₆, NEt₃, C₆F₅OH | 30/30 | 120 | 43 | 1 | 75a |

The rate-determining step is the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ to regenerate the starting species. Similar reactivity is observed in related complexes where an NH group replaces the pyridine group. In such catalysts, important interactions are apparent between the NH center and the formate ligand, highlighting the influence of the second coordination sphere.

One example of a very active catalyst is the iridium complex 35 with a proton responsive ligand shown in Scheme 8 that contains pendant bases (phenolate, ArO⁻) in the second coordination sphere. These catalysts exhibit high catalytic hydrogenation rates at ambient temperature and pressures. The rate-determining step is the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ to form 36, which is assisted by the presence of the pendant base with the involvement of a water molecule. It has also been suggested on the basis of DFT calculations that a weak hydrogen bond may assist in the insertion of CO₂ into the Ir center and the formation of a coordinatively unsaturated species (37) for temperature differences are taken into account. The close relationship between the Ir(PCP)H₂ catalysts shown in Scheme 4 and the Ir(PNP)H₂ catalysts shown in Scheme 7 reflects the potential synergy between the development of catalysts for CO₂ hydrogenation and electocatalysts for CO₂ reduction to formate.

Another example of a very active catalyst is the iridium complex 36 with a proton responsive ligand shown in Scheme 8 that contains pendant bases (phenolate, ArO⁻) in the second coordination sphere. These catalysts exhibit high catalytic hydrogenation rates at ambient temperature and pressures. The rate-determining step is the heterolytic cleavage of H₂ to form 37, which is assisted by the presence of the pendant base with the involvement of a water molecule. It has also been suggested on the basis of DFT calculations that a weak hydrogen bond may assist in the insertion of CO₂ into the Ir−H bond, as shown in the conversion of intermediates 37 to 38. The loss of formate to regenerate 35 completes the catalytic cycle. Complexes strictly analogous to 35, but lacking the pendant base, are much less active catalysts. This observation as well as DFT calculations point to the important roles for the functional groups in the second coordination sphere.
bifunctional activation of H₂ and CO₂ proposed in this catalytic process is again similar to the multifunctional activation of CO₂ observed in Mo- and W-formate dehydrogenases as well as NAD⁺-dependent formate dehydrogenases. A Mo-selenocysteine formate dehydrogenase in E. coli was shown to exhibit the ability to oxidize H₂.  

4.4. Heterogeneous Electrochemical Conversion of CO₂ to CO and Formate

4.4.1. Background and Challenges. Significant attention has focused on heterogeneous electrochemical processes for the reduction of CO₂ to products such as CO, formic acid, methanol, or even more reduced products. In these processes, the catalyst is immobilized on an electrode in an electrolysis cell. The catalysts used in these studies are often metals, or metal alloys, for example, in the form of nanoparticles. In a pioneering survey, Hori and co-workers surveyed and then classified metals according to their production of CO, formate, and hydrogen.  

For example, Sn catalysts yield almost exclusively formic acid, whereas the use of Ag favors the formation of CO. In contrast, Cu catalyzes the formation of C=C bonds, albeit with limited product selectivity (see Section 5.4).  

Thus, depending on the catalyst and operating conditions, either CO or formate may be produced in electrolysis cells in parallel with competitive formation of hydrogen gas. If water is oxidized to oxygen at the anode, the minimum or equilibrium cell potential for formation of CO is 1.33 V. Because of the large overpotential associated with CO₂ reduction, cell potentials typically exceed 2.0 V when either CO or formate is produced at the cathode and O₂ at the anode.  

Steady advances have been made with respect to improving electrocatalyzed reduction of CO₂. In this context, energetic efficiency is defined as the product of the Faradaic efficiency and the equilibrium potential divided by the applied potential, (i_{Faradic}/i_{total})(E°/E_{cell}) (Figure 4). High Faradaic efficiencies (some >70%) and reasonable current densities (up to 600 mA/cm²) have been reported, but high current densities have not been demonstrated in the same experiment. Carbon monoxide and formic acid can be produced at reasonably high energetic efficiencies, but only at low current densities. Presently to obtain syngas (a mixture of CO and H₂) for the Fischer–Tropsch process, hydrogen is best obtained by other routes.

Research on CO₂ electrolyzers remains focused on optimizing CO production.  

The ideal catalyst will be immobilized on an electrode in a continuous flow electrolysis cell. Indeed, an electrolysis cell for the reduction of CO₂ and H₂O to CO and H₂ was reported with a design similar to that of proton-exchange-membrane fuel cells (PEMFC), that is, based on the use of gas-diffusion electrodes. Introducing a pH-buffer layer (aqueous KHCO₃) between the silver-based cathode catalyst layer and the Nafton membrane was necessary to achieve current densities of 80 mA/cm². The CO/H₂ ratio can be tuned by controlling the cell potential, but the maximum Faradaic efficiency for CO production was limited to about 80% at a current density of less than 20 mA/cm². The performance of this system was probably transport-limited due to the high catalyst loadings on both the anode (Pt/Ir alloy) and the cathode (Ag).  

While the performance of CO₂ electrolyzers has improved, the major obstacle is still the high overpotential associated CO₂ reduction. Elevated temperatures can help to significantly reduce the overpotential. Another study reported the use of an ionic liquid (1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate, EMIM-BF₄) in aqueous media as a cocatalyst to reduce the typical overpotential of more than 0.8 V (cell potential >2.1 V) to less than 0.2 V (cell potential 1.5 V, just slightly above the equilibrium potential of 1.33 V) at the onset of CO formation. The Faradaic efficiency was remarkably high (>95%) independent of the cell potential. This significant reduction in overpotential was attributed to the stabilization of the CO₂ anion intermediate by EMIM cation, thereby stabilizing this high energy intermediate at the interface of the Ag cathode catalyst (Figure 5).  

This approach improved the energetic efficiency to close to 90%. Initially, current densities observed were low, less than 10 mA/cm², but have been raised to >80 mA/cm². To explain the preferential reduction of CO₂ over protons, these authors invoke the intermediacy of a weak EMIM–CO₂ complex. Thus, bifunctional activation of CO₂ involving the Ag electrode and EMIM⁺ cation may play a role in the heterogeneous reduction of CO₂ at low overpotentials, similar to the bifunctional activation observed in CODH enzymes.
Figure 5. Left: Structure of EMIM’·BF₄⁻ ion pair. Right: Schematic of the proposed cocatalytic mechanism in the reduction of CO₂ to CO via a complex between the EMIM’ and the CO₃²⁻ radical on the surface of the cathode.19

### 4.4.2. Practical Considerations.** To be commercially attractive, CO₂ electroreduction requires energetic efficiencies of ≥60–70% and current densities ≥150 mA/cm². Advances can be anticipated with improvements in deposition of catalysts during electrode preparation. For example, air-brushing leads to more uniform, thinner, and lower catalyst loadings. In contrast, most studies rely on hand painting. Thinner catalyst layers minimize mass transport limitations as reactants and products now have to diffuse significantly less through a poorly defined structure.

The electroreduction of CO₂ to formic acid has also been demonstrated using a microfluidic flow cell. Operating at acidic pH resulted in a significant increase in performance: Faradaic and energetic efficiencies of 89% and 45%, respectively, and current density of about 100 mA/cm².83

### 5. REDUCTION OF CO₂ BEYOND CO AND FORMATE

The focus of this section is the reduction of CO₂ to the oxidation state of methanol and beyond in biological and chemical systems. In biological systems, metabolic pathways accomplish these multielectron reactions via a sequence of two-electron reduced products at the formate, formaldehyde, methanol, and methane (or acetate) oxidation states. For synthetic systems, the primary aim is to convert CO₂ to reduced carbon compounds in a single reactor. Biological systems are tightly constrained energetically, whereas for synthetic systems there has traditionally been less emphasis on energy efficiency. Despite these differences, there are common lessons to learn, such as how to overcome thermodynamically unfavorable steps in the reduction of CO₂ to the oxidation level of methanol or methane.

In biological systems, formate is activated and reduced to the level of formaldehyde, methanol, or even methane. In contrast, an enzyme that catalyzes the reduction of CO has not yet been found. Instead, in nature, CO is used in the generation of C–C bonds as discussed in the next section. However, the nitrogenase enzyme can be modified to reduce CO directly.84 In contrast to biological systems, for chemical synthesis it is the reduction of CO that constitutes the major pathway. The reduction of CO with hydrogen in the presence of suitable catalysts can lead to production of either hydrocarbons or methanol on large scales, but the reduction of formate to produce chemical products is not used commercially.

In the following subsection, the mechanism of the commercially viable heterogeneous hydrogenation of CO₂/CO to methanol over supported Cu catalysts is described. This subsection is followed by a discussion on the pathways for reduction of CO₂ in which the C1 chemistry proceeds through formate. This discussion is followed by a survey of research on the hydrogenation of methyformate to produce methanol using synthetic catalysts and the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to produce methanol and higher alcohols. Next, we summarize the discovery that nitrogenases can catalyze the reduction of CO to alkanes, alkenes, and even methane, which represents an enzymatic route for direct CO reduction. These two subsections serve as a useful starting point for discussions of current research. This is followed by discussions on current processes and challenges for converting carbon monoxide to more reduced products. The last section describes principles that can be used to improve the efficiency of homogeneous catalysts for the hydrogenation of aldehydes and ketones, leading to useful fuels.

#### 5.1. Heterogeneous Hydrogenation of CO₂

Hydrogenation of CO, CO₂, or mixtures thereof has been of commercial interest for many decades and remains of high interest.85 Well known is the complete hydrogenation to methane and higher hydrocarbons, the Sabatier reaction and Fischer–Tropsch process, respectively. Particularly instructive within the context of this Review is selective hydrogenation of CO–CO₂ mixtures to methanol. This conversion is practiced industrially86 with annual worldwide production being estimated at ~50 M metric tons (2006).87 The most common commercial catalyst is copper supported on a high surface area alumina, often promoted with zinc oxide. While the role for the promoter oxide is still the subject of considerable debate,88 the reaction can occur entirely on the surface of Cu metal86,89 present as submicrometer-sized particles distributed over the surface of the support. Numerous studies have been aimed at identifying the elementary steps and rate-limiting processes.86,88a,89a,90

In the following, we first discuss the long-standing proposed reaction mechanisms for methanol synthesis.90 The “formate mechanism” involves an adsorbed formate intermediate, which ultimately leads to formation of methanol and water through several subsequent C- and O-hydrogenation, and C–O cleavage steps. Also widely discussed is the “CO-hydrogenation mechanism” involving first the formation of chemisorbed CO via the reverse water–gas shift reaction (RWGS; CO₂ + H₂ → CO + H₂O), followed by several hydrogenation steps. The formate mechanism has long been preferred because (1) adsorbed formate on copper catalysts is readily observed spectroscopically with FT-IR under realistic conditions91 and
(2) isotopic tracer studies have shown that, when both CO and CO$_2$ are present under typical industrial conditions, CO$_2$ is the primary source for methanol production. Emerging experimental evidence supports a "hydrocarboxyl mechanism" involving a chemisorbed hydrocarboxyl (COOH) that is inconsistent with either of the two previously proposed mechanisms.

5.1.1. Formate Mechanism. As depicted in the right-hand branch of Scheme 9, the formate mechanism is initiated by adsorption of weakly bound CO$_2$ and dissociative adsorption of H$_2$ to form chemisorbed H-atoms on the Cu surface (Note that the thermodynamics of the various reactions shown in Scheme 9 are contained in reference 90a). Addition of one H-atom to CO$_2$ yields adsorbed formate. This species is readily observed by in situ FTIR spectroscopy. In fact, formate is apparently so stable that it can be formed during the water–gas shift reaction (WGS; CO + H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ CO$_2$ + H$_2$), although for this process it is thought to be a spectator rather than an intermediate.

Chemisorbed formate on Cu surfaces can also be produced by adsorption of formic acid, and the reverse of this reaction is also possible leading to production of formic acid from CO$_2$ and H$_2$. However, formic acid is not observed as a product under typical methanol synthesis conditions. Instead, to continue to methanol via the formate mechanism, another H-atom adds to the carbon atom of the formate intermediate to form adsorbed dioxomethylene, −O$_2$CH$_2$. With a high estimated activation barrier, this step has been regarded as rate-limiting, which is also consistent with the presence of significant amounts of formate under steady-state reaction conditions. Dioxomethylene can, in principle, lose an oxygen atom to form formaldehyde as a product. This process is apparently not relevant to methanol synthesis because formaldehyde is not observed. Furthermore, this step has been calculated to have a quite high activation barrier.

Chemisorbed formaldehyde can, in principle, lose an oxygen atom to form formic acid as a product. This process is also possible leading to production of formic acid from CO$_2$ and H$_2$. However, formic acid is not observed as a product under typical methanol synthesis conditions. Instead, to continue to methanol via the formate mechanism, another H-atom adds to the carbon atom of the formate intermediate to form adsorbed dioxomethylene, −O$_2$CH$_2$. With a high estimated activation barrier, this step has been regarded as rate-limiting, which is also consistent with the presence of significant amounts of formate under steady-state reaction conditions. Dioxomethylene can, in principle, lose an oxygen atom to form formaldehyde as a product. This process is apparently not relevant to methanol synthesis because formaldehyde is not observed. Furthermore, this step has been calculated to have a quite high activation barrier. In any case, methanol is proposed ultimately to form via another hydrogenation step that leads to adsorbed methoxy species, followed by hydrogenolysis of a Cu−OCH$_3$ species.
5.1.2. CO Hydrogenation Mechanism. As depicted in the left branch of Scheme 9, the CO hydrogenation mechanism begins with the formation of an adsorbed hydrocarboxyl (−COO\text{H}) intermediate that arises via hydrogenation of one of the oxygen atoms in weakly adsorbed CO\text{2}. Thus, the difference between the initial step in the formate and CO hydrogenation mechanisms is H-atom addition to either the carbon atom (formate) or the oxygen atom (CO hydrogenation) of CO\text{2}. In the latter case, the hydrocarboxyl species is an intermediate for the reverse water–gas shift (RWGS) reaction to form CO and H\text{2}O, a process completed by loss of hydroxyl from −COOH to form CO and −OH, and subsequent hydrogenation of adsorbed hydroxyl to form H\text{2}O. Instead of completing the latter case, the hydrocarboxyl species is an intermediate for the CO hydrogenation mechanism. As in this latter mechanism, two more subsequent additions of chemisorbed H-atoms to the hydroxymethylene intermediate yield methanol.

The hydrocarboxyl mechanism proceeds with lower reaction barriers than either the formate or the CO hydrogenation mechanisms. In addition, some of these barriers are in fact lowered by water, a product of methanol synthesis, in contrast to the rate-limiting hydrogenation of adsorbed −HCOO in the formate mechanism whose barrier is actually higher in the presence of coadsorbed water or hydroxyl. Furthermore, the hydrocarboxyl mechanism can account for the preference of CO\text{2} as a reactant for methanol synthesis when both CO and CO\text{2} are present.

5.2. Biological Reduction of Formate

Nature has evolved two phylogenetically unrelated pathways for the reduction of CO\text{2} with H\text{2} to the oxidation state of methanol: the acetogenic pathway with tetrahydrofolate (H\text{4}F, 40) as the C\text{1}-unit carrier and the methanogenic pathway with tetrahydromethanopterin (H\text{4}MPT, 39) as the C\text{1}-unit carrier.

The C\text{1} carriers H\text{4}F and H\text{4}MPT are analogous pterin-derivatives differing mainly in the pK\text{a} values of N(10), which arise from the different para-substituents of the arene ring attached to N(10). This results in different redox potentials of the N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene-/N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene- and of the N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene-/N\text{5}-methyl couples. The acetogenic pathway (blue numbers in Scheme 10) involves free formate, N\text{5}-formyl-H\text{4}F (41a), N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene-H\text{4}F (42), and N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene-H\text{4}F (43) as intermediates yielding N\text{5}-methylene-H\text{4}F (44), its methyl group finally ending up in the methyl group of acetate. The endergonic formation of N\text{5},N\text{10}-formyl-H\text{4}F (41a) from formate is ATP driven. The reduction of the N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene group to the N\text{5},N\text{10}-methylene group and further to a N\text{5}-methyl group proceeds via hydride transfer from NAD(P)H catalyzed by transition metal free dehydrogenases. Electron transport from H\text{2} involves [FeFe] and [NiFe] hydrogenases as enzymes, ferredoxin (E\text{°'} = −500 mV) as one-electron carriers, and NAD(P) (−320 mV) as hydride carriers.

The methanogenic pathway (green numbers in Scheme 10) involves a formamide derivative (N-formylmethanofuran) (the CO\text{2}/N-formyl-couple has the same redox potential, −520 mV,
as the CO₂/CO couple), N⁵-formyl-tetrahydrodethanopterin (formyl-H₄MPT, 41b), N⁵,N¹⁰-methenyl-H₄MPT (42), and N⁵,N¹⁰-methylen-H₄MPT (43) as intermediates yielding N⁵-methyl-H₄MPT (44), its methyl group finally being reduced to methane. Electron transport from H₂ involves the enzymes [NiFe] and [Fe] hydrogenases and ferredoxin (−500 mV) as one-electron carriers and the S⁻-deazaflavin coenzyme F₄₂₀ (−360 mV) as the hydride carrier.

Endergonic and exergonic reduction reactions with H₂ in the two pathways are coupled such that the thermodynamic efficiency of CO₂ reduction with H₂ to the oxidation level of methanol is very high: essentially all of the reactions operate near thermodynamic equilibrium and are therefore reversible. Redox coupling proceeds via the recently discovered mechanism of flavin-based electron bifurcation. In this process, two electrons at the potential of H₂ (−414 mV) undergo disproportionation in an enzymatically coupled process to reduce ferredoxin (−500 mV) and NAD⁺ (−320 mV) in the acetogenic pathway or ferredoxin and CoM−S−S−CoB (−150 mV) in the methanogenic pathway.

Whereas the enzymes involved in CO₂ reduction to the oxidation level of formate are molybdenum−iron−sulfur proteins or tungsten−iron−sulfur proteins (or their selenocysteine-containing isoenzymes), those catalyzing the conversion from the oxidation level of formate to the oxidation level of methanol do not contain transition metals, neither in the acetogenic nor in the methanogenic pathway. One design principle for catalysts for reducing formate to the level of methanol can be hydrogenated using heterogeneous Cu catalysts, and this route is of interest due to the lower operating temperatures for this process as compared to the more commonly used route for the hydrogenation of CO/CO₂ discussed elsewhere in this Review. Molecular Ru pincer complexes, such as those shown in Scheme 11, catalyze the hydrogenation of methylformate to methanol. These pincer complexes share structural similarities with the Ir pincer complexes (shown in Schemes 4 and 7) described above for the reduction of CO₂ to formate. These complexes operate at temperatures between 80 and 145 °C and require H₂ pressures of 10−50 atm. A key step in the catalytic mechanism is proposed to involve the transfer of a hydride ligand of 45 to the carbonyl C of methylformate in concert with a transfer of a proton from the methylene carbon of the tridentate ligand to the carbonyl O, as shown in transition state 46, to generate 47 and CH₃OCH₂OH. The latter eliminates methanol to give formaldehyde. Addition of H₂ to 47 regenerates the trans dihydride complexes 45, which can then reduce formaldehyde to methanol. Similar pathways for the reduction of C=O bonds by Noyori catalysts are discussed in more detail below. Extension of these studies to inexpensive and abundant first row transition metals would be of much interest.

5.4. Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ Beyond CO and Formate

The electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to produce multi-electron/multiproton products, such as methanol, is a long sought goal where only limited success can be claimed. Although a wide variety of metal and semiconductor electrodes have been surveyed, only copper electrodes and III−V semiconductors stand out as the materials that produce highly reduced products, that is, those beyond formate and CO. The original catalysts required large overpotentials, exhibited poor system stability, and often operated...
with very low Faradaic efficiencies (i.e., low product selectivity and yields). Overpotentials can be reduced by as much as 0.5 V by changing surface roughness. Labeling experiments with $^{15}$CO$_2$, which have been useful applied to related reactions, have not been described for these systems. Re-examination of the Cu-catalyzed reduction of CO$_2$ to hydrocarbons has revealed even more diverse products, including C-3 hydrocarbons. Ongoing work suggests that the combinations of metal- and metal–oxide-based catalysts may provide strategies for tuning the selectivity for reduction of protons versus CO$_2$. Pyridine and related heterocycles catalyze the selective transformation of CO$_2$ to methanol and under certain conditions to higher order alcohols. This finding is unexpected because the process involves one-electron/one-proton reduction pathways, not the multielectron processes that have been anticipated as necessary. Nonetheless, pyridinium, the prototypical catalyst in this class, is found to convert CO$_2$ to methanol with $\sim$300 mV of overpotential at a platinum electrode with $\sim$25–30% Faradaic efficiency. When this homogeneous catalyst is employed in an aqueous CO$_2$ saturated electrolyte at pH $\approx$ 5.2, in the presence of 10 mM pyridine and an illuminated p-type gallium phosphide (p-GaP) cathode is employed, a Faradaic yield of 96% is found for the formation of methanol.

It has been proposed that, independent of the electrode employed, the initial process is the reduction of a protonated pyridinium to form a one-electron reduced pyryl radical and that this species reacts with CO$_2$ via a proton-de that is reduced in a similar manner to efficiently form methanol. These latter reductions are strongly dependent on the type of electrode surface employed. Computational studies indicate that reduction of the pyridinium salt is not mechanistically viable, but that reduction of CO$_2$ is intimately associated with the platinum electrode (scheme 12).

### Scheme 12

Regardless of the precise mechanism, specific aromatic aminium electrocatalysts in combination with specific electrode materials produce different distributions of electrode products including the formation of carbon–carbon bonded products. For example, p-type gallium arsenide (p-GaAs) photocathodes modified with platinum nanoparticles are found to be highly active for the reduction of CO$_2$ to multicarbon products. Isopropanol, which involves the 18 electron reduction of CO$_2$, is formed with $\sim$60% Faradaic yield. The strong dependence of the product distribution on the electrode surface employed and subtle differences in the electrode surface strongly implicates multisite interactions as critical to an understanding of these processes.

### 5.5. Enzymatic Route for Direct CO Reduction

An enzyme whose primary function is the reduction of CO has not yet been identified. However, nitrogenase catalyzes the multielectron and multiproton reduction of dinitrogen (N$_2$) to two ammonia (NH$_3$) molecules, constituting the major mechanism for input of fixed nitrogen into the global nitrogen cycle. This difficult reduction, with an optimal stoichiometry of N$_2$ + $88^+$ + 16ATP + $8e^-$ = 2NH$_3$ + $H_2$ + 16ADP + 16 $P_i$, utilizes three different Fe–S clusters to deliver electrons or to bind and reduce the N$_2$. In the Mo-dependent enzyme, binding of N$_2$ occurs at a cluster called the FeMo-cofactor with the composition [7Fe-8S-1Mo-1C-homocitrate] (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Structure of FeMo-cofactor in nitrogenase.

Two alternative nitrogenases appear to utilize a similar cofactor, except that the Mo is replaced by V (V-nitrogenase) or Fe (Fe-nitrogenase). In addition to the reduction of N$_2$ and protons, nitrogenase has been shown to reduce a number of nonphysiological small-, double-, or triple-bond containing molecules such as acetylene (C$_2$H$_2$) to ethylene (C$_2$H$_4$) and ethane, propane, and propylene as shown in reaction 12.

The formation of hydrocarbons by nitrogenase is reminiscent of the industrial Fischer–Tropsch process for the hydrogenation of CO over solid catalysts to form alkenes and alkanes. CO is known to bind to Fe atom(s) on one face of FeMo-cofactor, and such species are plausibly relevant to the CO hydrogenation by the V enzyme. Mutation of the amino acids near FeMo-cofactor affords nitrogenases that convert CO to a suite of hydrocarbons (reaction 17) at rates comparable to those seen in the V-nitrogenase.

### 5.6. Chemical Pathways for the Reduction of CO

If carbon dioxide could be efficiently reduced to carbon monoxide, and if dihydrogen were readily available from a renewable or other nonfossil fuel derived source, many attractive products could be envisioned using current technologies and their extensions. Currently, syngas (H$_2$ + CO) is readily obtained from natural gas, coal, and biomass, although the steam reforming processes used are very energy intensive and CO$_2$ producing. Conversions to methanol using Cu/ZnO catalysts or to hydrocarbons by the Fischer–Tropsch process are relatively mature technologies. Syngas conversion to methanol is very efficient. On the other hand, Fischer–Tropsch processes with heterogeneous iron, cobalt, or ruthenium catalysts produce Schlütz–Flory distributions of hydrocarbons, along with oxygenates, and these products...
require additional processing. Optimizing for any particular desired range of products, such as diesel, is strictly limited. Substituting homogeneous catalysts for heterogeneous catalysts appears to be an attractive possibility. In general, homogeneously catalyzed reactions are more selective than their heterogeneous counterparts; also, homogeneous catalysts can often be readily adjusted (by changing metals or ligands, for example) to tailor the product distribution, taking advantage of the detailed mechanistic understanding that can often be obtained, whereas for heterogeneous catalysts rational modification is more difficult. Research into homogeneously catalyzed syntheses conversion first spiked in response to the oil crisis of the 1970s. Economic factors as well the significant technical hurdles that were quickly recognized led to declining interest in applying organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis to these challenges. There is currently a major revival of interest driven by the recognition of new opportunities, particularly those suggested by the reduction of carbon oxides by biochemical catalysts.

The “obvious” first step of a homogeneously catalyzed CO hydrogenation would be migratory insertion of CO into a metal–hydride bond; however, it is now well established that this elementary step is substantially endoenergetic. The extreme conditions required for previously reported examples of homogeneous CO hydrogenation are most likely a consequence of this barrier, at least in part. External attack of a nucleophilic hydride (e.g., borohydrides such as [R₃BH]) on coordinated CO constitutes a much more facile method for formation of the first C–H bond. Incorporation of this step into a viable catalytic process has been problematic because it is difficult to regenerate the borohydride reagent. C–C bond formation also presents some difficulties, although methods exist for overcoming those in the context of CO chemistry, particularly with the aid of Lewis acid cocatalysts. There are promising examples of CO hydrogenation with transition metal reagents bearing pendant Lewis acids to reduce products having C–C bonds, but these are only stoichiometric at present. For these complexes, the formation of Lewis acid bonds to O can accelerate C–H and C–C bond-forming steps; however, balancing this M–O bond formation step with M–O bond cleavage reactions that are required to close catalytic cycles is a major current challenge.

5.7. Reductions of Aldehydes and Ketones by Molecular Catalysts

An important intermediate in the reduction of CO or formate to methanol or methane is formaldehyde, and this leads naturally to an interest in catalysts that are capable of reducing aldehydes or ketones. The large-scale reduction of carbonyl-containing compounds has been practiced for over a hundred years. Early advances provided Raney nickel catalysts for aldehyde reductions and, in the Meerwein–Pondorf–Verley reductions, aluminum complexes and catalysts for aldehyde and ketones. However, these systems have low catalytic activities (turnover frequencies of 1–100 mol of product per mole of metal per hour), and the heterogeneous nickel systems have low selectivities. The advent of borohydride reagents provided a convenient and selective method, but it is stoichiometric, not catalytic. The need for high selectivity, particularly enantioselectivity in ketone reduction to provide pharmaceutical intermediates, has driven the development of homogeneous catalysts. The initial catalysts were very active platinum–metal-based systems, and more recent catalysts have used base metals such as iron and copper. Ketones are more challenging substrates than aldehydes due to their lower free energies of hydrogenation.

A Nobel-prize winning breakthrough in the hydrogenation of polar bonds was Noyori’s development of amine-hydride catalysts produced by activation of RuCl₂(diamine)-(diphosphine) with base and H₂. These catalysts are highly active with turnover frequencies (TOFs) greater than 400 000 mol of alcohol per mole of ruthenium per hour with the production of more than 2 000 000 mol of alcohol per mole of ruthenium under relatively mild conditions (45 atm, 30 deg). Catalysts occur via an "outer-sphere" mechanism involving a six-membered intermediate. The H⁺Ru–NH sites bind to a complementarily polarized δ⁻OC center of the ketone substrate (eq 18). Similar principles apply to aldehydes, imines, and even esters and amides. The free energy barrier for this process is low (approximately 8 kcal/mol), and the process is selective for carbonyl over nonpolar substrates such as olefins. These systems feature charge-neutral metal hydrides, which are particularly nucleophilic. A variety of related catalysts have been prepared using suitable N, P, C, and H donor ligands, with the low electronegativity donors situated trans to the hydride. The most active systems have a bifunctional character where the metal and ligand cooperate to deliver the proton and hydride equivalents. The carbonyl group of the substrate is activated to nucleophilic attack of the hydride ligand by interaction of the carbonyl oxygen atom with a proton bond to a nitrogen or carbon atom, and the proton transfer is enhanced by the transfer of a hydride ligand. A related and very active iridium catalyst with a tridentate ligand, IrH₂(phosphine-amide-imine), likely operates by an inner sphere mechanism.
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Active iron-based catalysts for the reduction of ketones and aldehydes have been developed. These systems feature pincer imine-diphosphine ligands that, analogous to 49, are thought to operate using a bifunctional HFe–CH unit in the reduction of the polar bonds. Dihydrogen is activated by the basic carbon site on the ligand that is created after the alcohol product is released. A related family of catalysts are of the type trans-[Fe(L or X')(CO)(phosphine-imine-imine-phosphine)]²⁺, which are activated with base. Turnover frequencies have been achieved of up to 55 000 h⁻¹ at 28 °C for the transfer hydrogenation of acetophenone. A different catalytic motif utilizing iron is the iron complex, shown below that is a derivative of cyclopentadienol.
This complex features a hydride ligand and protic hydroxyl group poised to interact with polar substrates by the outer sphere, leading to transfer of $\text{H}^-$ and $\text{H}^+$. More active are the related Ru derivatives including Shvo’s catalyst, Ru(H)–(C$_5$Ph$_4$OH)(CO)$_2$.$^{136}$ Finally, a copper hydride phosphine system represents another class of moderately active but cheaper metal catalysts.$^{137}$

5.8. Comparison of Biological and Chemical Pathways for the Reduction of CO$_2$ Beyond the Level of CO and Formate

Major differences exist between the biological and chemical routes to the reduction of CO$_2$ beyond the level of CO and formate. Biological systems reduce formate under very mild conditions via the formation of $\text{C}–\text{H}$ bonds to the level of methanol or even methane. Natural biological pathways involve a series of enzymatic steps to utilize CO to form $\text{C}–\text{C}$ bonds, as well as $\text{C}–\text{H}$ bonds (as discussed in section 6). Remarkably, a single enzyme, nitrogenase, can be modified to produce methane and other reduced carbon compounds (section 5.5). In addition, biological pathways are scalable from microorganisms to entire ecosystems. In contrast, the predominant industrial pathway for the chemical reduction of CO$_2$ beyond the level of CO or formate proceeds exclusively through CO. These chemical pathways currently require high temperatures and pressures. As a result, it may be difficult to scale these processes to applications suitable for widely distributed renewable energy sources.

Despite these apparent differences in the biological and chemical approaches to the formation of more reduced carbon products, there are common lessons. In biology, formate is activated prior to reduction. The same can be said for the homogeneous catalysts for the hydrogenation of methylformate.$^{160}$ Formate reduction in the biological systems also involves the coupling of exergonic reactions with endergonic reactions to assist in driving thermodynamically difficult steps (electron bifurcation). Similar approaches will undoubtedly be useful in further development of chemical pathways for formate and CO reduction. Another central theme is the importance of the second coordination sphere in enhancing catalytic rates and controlling selectivity. For example, site-directed mutagenesis to change the nature of the amino acids adjacent to the active site of the nitrogenase enzyme plays a major role in the nature of the products observed. Similarly for ketone hydrogenation reactions carried out by transition metal complexes, the bifunctional delivery of a proton and a hydride to the polar C=O is important for fast and selective catalysis. Both the positioning of the different functional groups with respect to each other and the matching of their hydride and proton donor/acceptor abilities are critical to achieve the desired reaction. It is clear that much can be learned from comparing biological and chemical systems and that new routes may be developed on the basis of common themes such as multisite activation of substrates and energetic coupling of reactions.

6. C–C Bond-Forming Reactions Involving CO and CO$_2$

The formation of carbon–carbon bonds by reactions with CO is instrumental in many industrial processes$^{138}$ and in the biological carbon cycle.$^{139}$ Such C–C bond-forming reactions will be of even more importance if fuels are made from CO$_2$, rather than from such reduced C1 molecules as methanol. Yet, some striking similarities exist between natural and synthetic systems. For example, the intermediate steps in the Monsanto process for industrial acetic acid formation from methanol and CO are comparable to those in the catalytic mechanism of the Ni-enzyme, acetyl-CoA synthase (ACS) (Scheme 13). The enzyme activates CO toward reaction with a methyl group (donated by the corrinoid iron–sulfur protein, CFeSP) and coenzyme A to generate acetyl-CoA, which serves as a source of energy and cell carbon for various anaerobic microbes.$^{140}$ The stoichiometries of the carbylation using CO (involving only ACS) or CO$_2$ (requiring both CODH and ACS) are shown in eqs 19 and 20.

Scheme 13. Comparison of Biological and Chemical Pathways for C–C Bond Formation$^{a}$

"Left: Enzymatic mechanism of acetyl-CoA synthesis by the Ni-metalloenzyme ACS. In the CODH/ACS complex, CO$_2$ is reduced by CODH to generate CO, which is channeled to the ACS active site, where it combines with a methyl group and CoA to generate acetyl-CoA. Right: Mechanism of acetic acid synthesis by the Monsanto process, showing only the key steps and fragments at the metal center. It consists of ‘organo’ and a ‘metallo’ cycles, the later having steps similar to those for ACS."
adjacent iodide ligand to release the product acetyl iodide.\(^{141}\) Reductive elimination by coupling the acyl ligand with an alkyl group to generate an acyl-metal intermediate. In the Monsanto process, this acyl-metal intermediate undergoes (intramolecular nucleophilic attack) of the metal-bound CO to form C−C bond-forming reactions involve a migratory insertion (e.g., CH\(_3\)−M, M−CO) by oxidative addition reactions. Furthermore, the key carbon−carbon bond-forming reactions involve a migratory insertion (intramolecular nucleophilic attack) of the metal-bound CO and alkyl groups to generate an acyl-metal intermediate. In the Monsanto process, this acyl-metal intermediate undergoes reductive elimination by coupling the acyl ligand with an adjacent iodide ligand to release the product acetyl iodide.\(^{141}\) By contrast, in the enzyme, the Ni-acyl couples to the thiolate of Coenzyme A, reductively eliminating the thioester acetyl-CoA. Aspects of this intermetallic C−C coupling process have been replicated in models, including the alkylation of low-valent Ni complexes by methyl cobalt compounds.\(^{142}\)

Both the biological and the homogeneous catalysts involved in C−C bond formation use organometallic mechanisms that feature low-valent metal centers (e.g., Rh\(^{I}\) vs Ni\(^{I}\)) to facilitate formation of metal−carbon bonds (e.g., CH\(_3\)−M, M−CO) by oxidative addition reactions. Furthermore, the key carbon−carbon bond-forming reactions involve a migratory insertion (intramolecular nucleophilic attack) of the metal-bound CO and alkyl groups to generate an acyl-metal intermediate. In the Monsanto process, this acyl-metal intermediate undergoes reductive elimination by coupling the acyl ligand with an adjacent iodide ligand to release the product acetyl iodide.\(^{141}\) By contrast, in the enzyme, the Ni-acyl couples to the thiolate of Coenzyme A, reductively eliminating the thioester acetyl-CoA. Aspects of this intermetallic C−C coupling process have been replicated in models, including the alkylation of low-valent Ni complexes by methyl cobalt compounds.\(^{142}\)

Besides the Monsanto process, many other examples of homogeneous catalytic processes involve these fundamental reaction steps, including hydroformylation in which a hydride migrates to an alkene ligand to generate a metal−alkyl that in turn migrates to the CO ligand, forming the metal-alkyl that reacts with hydrogen to form the aldehyde. Similarly, the Pd-based industrial Reppe processes developed by BASF, Toyo Rayon, and Shell lead to the carbonylation of alkenes, alkynes, and conjugated dienes.\(^{143}\) Perhaps lessons can be learned from the natural systems that would stimulate the development of a new generation of bioinspired catalysts with superior properties, as discussed below.

ACS: CO + CH\(_3\)−FeSP + CoA → acetyl-CoA + CFeSP

CODH/ACS: CO\(_2\) + "H\(_2\)" + CH\(_3\)−FeSP + CoA → acetyl-CoA + H\(_2\)O + CFeSP

In the Monsanto process, the methyl donor is methyl iodide, which is generated in situ from methanol, the net reaction being shown in eq 21.

CO + CH\(_3\)−OH → CH\(_3\)CO\(_2\)H

6.1. Comparisons of and Contrasts between the Biological and Synthetic Catalytic Systems

Despite considerable mechanistic similarities, obvious contrasts exist among the metal centers and the coordination environments of the catalysts used in the homogeneous and enzymatic systems. Metalloenzymes mainly feature transition metals from the first row, whereas synthetic homogeneous catalysts usually contain the heavier transition metals. In ACS, Ni is the active site, whereas second- and third-row transition metals (Rh, Ir, Pd, etc.) are most common in commercial carbonylations, for example, the Monsanto and hydroformylation reactions.\(^{141}\) Hydrocarboxylations are exceptions where nickel remains a popular metal for certain applications.\(^{144}\)

The active site in ACS, shown schematically on the left side of Scheme 13 and more graphically on the left side of Figure 7, is called the A-cluster. The active site is a Ni center (called the proximal nickel because of its proximity to the Fe−S cluster) that is attached, via thiolate bridges, to a second Ni site (called the distal nickel) and to a Fe\(_4\)S\(_4\) cluster. As in the homogeneous reaction, a single metal center, the proximal Ni, binds the CO, CH\(_3\), and acetyl groups. The distal Ni center may fine-tune the electronic properties of the active site, as has been replicated in models.\(^{145}\) The Fe\(_4\)S\(_4\) cluster participates directly in internal redox reactions with the catalytic Ni site. In contrast, in synthetic carbonylation catalysts, the ligands are not connected to redox centers. Carboxylation affords the best-characterized state of ACS.\(^{146}\) This state features a Ni\(^{II}\)−CO center, which has been studied by a variety of spectroscopic (EPR, ENDOR, Mossbauer, X-ray absorption, IR)\(^{147}\) and computational methods. It has been shown to be a kinetically competent intermediate in the mechanism.\(^{149}\) Model complexes have replicated key C−C bond-forming reactions of ACS.\(^{142}\)

One reason that the heavier (less abundant and more expensive) metals are used in the homogeneous chemical processes is that they promote two-electron type reactivity for facile oxidative addition (bond-breaking) and reductive elimination (bond-making) reactions. In addition, the second row d\(^5\) and d\(^{10}\) metals give stable 16-electron square planar complexes, which represent important intermediates for many catalytic cycles. Nature deals differently with controlling the reactivity of their first row transition metal catalysts. In general, complex metal clusters containing thiolate ligands fine-tune the oxidation state of the catalytic centers and act as electron-
transfer conduits to deliver electrons as required. For example, the thiolate bridge between the proximal Ni and the Fe₅S₆ cluster at the ACS active site serves as an effective conduit for electron flow, allowing the unpaired electron in the Ni³⁻–CO state to delocalize among the proximal Ni, CO, and the Fe₅S₆ components of the A-cluster. The amido dithiolato coordination environment of the distal Ni stabilizes the Ni²⁺ state, whereas the sulfur-rich environment of the proximal Ni allows it to undergo reduction to the Ni⁰ state. The internal electron shuttle, proposed in the ACS mechanism, has precedence in the active site of the [FeFe] hydrogenases (Figure 1), which also features an Fe₅S₆ cluster attached to a substrate-binding center. Intriguing examples from synthetic chemistry are Co¹⁺ complexes ligated with two redox-active (“noninnocent”) amidothiolate ligands. Complexes can undergo oxidative addition reactions, without changing the oxidation state of the cobalt. Electrons flow to the redox-active ligands and are stored temporarily. Subsequent reaction with zinc-alkyl reagents leads to C–C bond formation via a reductive elimination reaction in which electrons flow back from the ligands.

One of the other general differences between biological and synthetic catalysts is the nature of the ligands in the first coordination sphere. In metalloproteins associated with organometallic transformations, the metals are generally bound in sulfur-rich environments provided by sulfido and thiolate ligands. For synthetic catalysts, phosphorus-based ligands are more common, for example, in rhodium- and cobalt-based hydroformylation catalysts.

The second coordination sphere, as defined by functional groups that can interact with substrates but not with the metal center, plays an important role in enzymatic catalysis. Substrates are precisely oriented to react in a specific manner with the active site. As shown on the left side of Figure 7, ACS contains a gas binding cage composed mainly of hydrophobic amino acids (isoleucine, valine, and two phenylalanine residues) located within 4 Å of the proximal Ni to which CO binds. The steric confinement provided by this cage enhances the selectivity for CO near that catalytic metal center. For synthetic systems, we are just beginning to incorporate second coordination sphere control of catalyst reactivity, and a few examples exist in which a functional group of a substrate interacts with functional groups of the catalyst adjacent to the substrate-binding site. Such interactions orient the substrate with respect to the metal, which can lead to exceptionally high selectivity. For reactions with substrates that do not have functional groups other than those involved in the chemical transformation, one can design enzyme-type pockets or cages around the active site. The right side of Figure 7 displays RhH(CO)₅(P(pyrindyl))₃ in the core of a cage formed by self-assembly of zinc porphyrins that bind via Zn–N bonds to the templating P(pyrindyl) ligand. Uniquely, this rhodium complex selectively hydroformylates internal alkenes, because of the steric confinement provided by the cage.

6.1.1. Beyond the Second Coordination Sphere, the Outer Coordination Sphere. A defining feature of the enzymatic synthesis of acetyl-CoA is the method by which CO is delivered to the A-Cluster active site of ACS. CODH, which was discussed in section 4.2, and ACS form a 300 kDa macromolecular complex that works as a machine in which CO₂ is reduced to CO by CODH. CO then travels over 70 Å through a hydrophobic tunnel into the cage (above) at the active site of ACS. This tunnel allows for tandem catalysis, effecting tight coordination, and coupling of CO₂ reduction to acetyl-CoA synthesis. It also prevents loss of CO, the generation of which requires a significant expenditure of energy ($E^\prime = -520$ mV vs NHE). In synthetic systems, catalysts can be placed in cages, leading to systems that display interesting properties in terms of activity and selectivity. The next level of complexity would be the application of well-defined cages in which entrance of various reagents is controlled.

6.2. Challenges and Goals for the Future

For the determination of catalytic mechanisms, the ideal is to trap each intermediate and obtain detailed structural information. For the mechanistic study of transition metal-catalyzed processes, several in situ spectroscopic techniques have been developed, although even with such sophisticated methods, observation of the key intermediates is unlikely to be straightforward, and only the resting or inactive states are observable. A mass spectrometric method has been developed for identification of less-stable but more active intermediates.

Illustrative of the promise and problems are mechanistic studies on ACS. Computational results combined with biochemical and spectroscopic experiments and studies of model complexes suggest that the proximal Ni is the binding site for the carbonyl group and that the Ni–CO is paramagnetic. Both the Ni²⁺ species to which CO binds and the methyl-Ni³⁺ state, which rapidly undergoes reduction to methyl-Ni⁰, are very unstable. In fact, the Ni²⁺ was generated by photolysis of the Ni²⁺–CO and is only observable below 20 K. The Ni²⁺–CO intermediate is formed in an electron-transfer reaction that is kinetically coupled to CO binding, a strategy that is similar in principle to the well-studied proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) that drives many related chemical reactions.

The role of the various components in the ACS active site is not yet known, for example, the role of the distal Ni in ACS. As the distal Ni appears to remain in the Ni²⁺ state during catalysis, it may fine-tune the properties of the proximal Ni and could perhaps serve a Lewis acid role, as has been proposed for the Fe²⁺ in the CODH mechanism (above). In homogeneous catalysis, catalytic enhancement has been achieved by including one metal as a redox center and the other as a Lewis acid. The redox center is most reactive and can also serve as a nucleophile. The “Lewis acid” center also can help to enforce selectivity and provide electronic and steric control. This Lewis acid role is well studied in various CO₂-activating enzymes that contain mononuclear non-redox metals as Lewis acids to bind substrates and stabilize anions, for example, M–OH in carbonic anhydrase and M–CO₂ (oxy-bound) in carboxylation reactions involving biotin carboxylases, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase (RuBisCO), and phosphoenol pyruvate carboxylase.

Another challenge in the enzymatic system is to understand the internal electron transfer pathway that is coupled to the carboxylation and methylation steps in the pathway (see Bender et al. for details). The coupling of the various stages of metal–carbon and carbon–carbon bond formation to rapid and efficient electron transfer appears to be a key feature of ACS catalytic reactivity. The inclusion of redox centers to harbor additional electrons and to stabilize reactive low-valent metal centers is a strategy that should be considered in the development of more active homogeneous catalysts. Finally, it is important to understand how dynamics are coupled to the catalytic event, which is highly topical in enzyme...
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Moreover, the mechanism by which the biotin-dependent carboxylases utilize carbon dioxide as a substrate to form carbon–carbon bonds may provide insight into the development of chemical catalysts that carry out the same chemistry. Biotin-dependent carboxylases are multifunctional enzymes that catalyze their reactions via a two-step reaction mechanism shown by reactions 22 and 23, where biotin has the molecular structure shown by 50.

\[
E\text{-biotin} + MgATP + HCO_3^- \xrightleftharpoons{Mg^{2+}} E\text{-biotin-}CO_2^- + MgADP + P_i \tag{22}
\]

\[
E\text{-biotin-}CO_2^- + \text{acceptor} \rightleftharpoons E\text{-biotin} + \text{acceptor-}CO_2^- \tag{23}
\]

Reaction 22 involves the carboxylation of biotin and is identical for all of the biotin-dependent carboxylases. Reaction 23 involves the transfer of the carboxyl group from biotin to an acceptor molecule. The acceptor molecule denotes the name of the enzyme. For instance, if the acceptor is acetyl-CoA, then the enzyme is acetyl-CoA carboxylase.

6.3.1. Fixation of CO2. Bicarbonate serves as the source of CO2 for all biotin-dependent carboxylases and is activated by reacting with ATP to form a carboxyphosphate intermediate (eq 24).164

\[
\text{HO-}CO_2^- + \text{ATP} \rightarrow \text{HO-}CO_2^- + \text{PO}_4^{3-} \tag{24}
\]

The mechanism by which the carboxyl group is transferred from carboxyphosphate to biotin is unknown. Two possible mechanisms are (1) the carboxyphosphate decomposes in the active site to form CO2 and phosphate and biotin reacts with CO2, and (2) biotin reacts directly with the carboxyl group on carboxyphosphate. The first mechanism is attractive because the carbon in CO2 is more electrophilic than a carbon in a carboxyl group. The first reaction in biotin-dependent carboxylases requires 2 equiv of metal ions. The metal ion used in nature is magnesium; however, cobalt and manganese will work equally well.165 One of the metal ions is bound to ATP such that the metal-nucleotide chelate is the substrate for biotin carboxylase, while the role of the other metal is unknown.

6.3.2. C–C Bond Formation. Once CO2 has been fixed onto biotin it is then transferred to an acceptor molecule by a carboxyltransferase, Scheme 14. The common theme for this reaction is that carbon–carbon formation proceeds via an enolate anion in the acceptor molecules as well as the biotin.

Scheme 14

For those biotin-dependent carboxylases with an acyl-CoA acceptor molecule, the enolate anion is stabilized with an oxyanion hole, which is consistent with carboxyltransferase being a member of the crotonase superfamily of enzymes.166

The crotonase superfamily of enzymes are all characterized by having oxyanion holes that stabilize the formation of enolate anions in their substrates.167 The oxyanion holes used by biotin-dependent carboxylases to stabilize the enolate anion contrast with the mechanism used by the other major carboxylases. Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase168 and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase169 both use Mg2+ to stabilize the enolate intermediate.

The mechanism by which the carboxyl group is transferred from biotin to the acceptor molecule is also unknown. It has been proposed that carboxybiotin undergoes a decarboxylation so that CO2 is the species that reacts with the enolate. The other major question concerning the carboxyltransferase step is what is the base that abstracts the proton from the acceptor to generate the enolate? It has been proposed to be biotin after it undergoes decarboxylation, an example of substrate-assisted catalysis. However, other enzymes in the crotonase superfamily of enzymes have been shown to use a glutamic acid residue as a base,167b and sequence alignments of acetyl-CoA carboxylase with other crotonase family enzymes do in fact reveal a homologous glutamic acid residue.

6.3.3. Biotin-Dependent Carboxylases and Fuel Production. While biotin-dependent carboxylases are excellent catalysts for using CO2 to form carbon–carbon bonds, it is the products of the reactions that might be useful for generating fuels. For instance, the product of the reaction catalyzed by acetyl-CoA carboxylase is malonyl-CoA. Removal of the CoA by hydrolysis or a thioesterase would generate malonic acid, which could be reduced to propanediol or completely reduced to propane. The biotin-dependent carboxylases propionyl-CoA carboxylase and pyruvate carboxylase could conceivably generate isobutane and butane, respectively.
Several possible advantages exist for using biotin-dependent carboxylases as catalysts for fuel production. First, all of the genes encoding the enzymes have been cloned and actively overexpressed; thus, ample amounts of enzyme are available. Second, the TOF of acetyl-CoA carboxylase is about 5 s\(^{-1}\) at pH 8. Because the \(K_m\) value for bicarbonate is around 0.4 mM\(^{170}\), and the concentration of bicarbonate at the catalytically optimal pH values of 7–8 ranges from 50 to 500 \(\mu\)M, respectively, the enzyme operates at subsaturating levels with respect to the substrate bicarbonate. As a result, the rate of catalysis by acetyl-CoA carboxylase in vitro and in vivo is not at maximal velocity. If acetyl-CoA carboxylase could be combined with carbonic anhydrase and the carboxysomes (discussed below) to increase the local concentration of bicarbonate, then the turnover number could potentially double. Third, as with most biological catalysts, high turnover is achieved at room temperature and atmospheric pressure (i.e., high temperatures and pressures are not required to catalyze CO\(_2\) fixation).

The major disadvantage to using biotin-dependent carboxylases as catalysts for fuel production is that they require a continuous input of ATP and the acceptor molecule. However, the reaction catalyzed by acetyl-CoA carboxylase could be coupled to ACS (above) to provide a continuous source of acetyl-CoA. Moreover, acetyl-CoA carboxylase functions equally well in aerobic and anaerobic environments so coupling to the oxygen-sensitive ACS poses no obvious problem.

In conclusion of this subsection, if the remaining questions concerning the CO\(_2\) fixation and carbon—carbon bond formation can be answered, biotin-dependent carboxylases have promise in the conversion of CO\(_2\) into feedstocks for fuel production, and may also provide useful insights into possible synthetic models.

### 6.4. RuBisCO-Catalyzed C–C Bond Formation

A large part of CO\(_2\) assimilation in the biosphere proceeds through the process of photosynthesis, which annually converts an estimated 263 × 10\(^9\) tons of CO\(_2\) into biomass. A key step in this process is the catalytic addition of CO\(_2\) to ribulose 1,5-biphosphate by the enzyme, RuBisCO (eq 25). RuBisCO is an extremely slow catalyst, with turnover frequencies of approx-

\[\text{RuBisCO} + \text{CO}_2 + \text{Mg}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{RuBisCO}_\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2^{-}\]

[Eq 25]

Crystal structures of complexes of the enzyme with substrate, product, or inhibitors have provided key insight into the catalytic reaction, shown in Scheme 15. Figure 8 shows the structure of the Chlamydomonas reinhardtii RuBisCO complexed with the reaction intermediate analogue, 2-carboxyarabinitol-1,5-bisphosphate (2CABP).\(^{173}\) Catalysis requires an activation step involving the binding of CO\(_2\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) to complete the active site. The spontaneous activation step is nevertheless facilitated by another enzyme, RuBisCO activase, which removes a variety of otherwise inhibitory sugar phosphates from the active site.\(^{174}\) In the activation step, the free amino group of an active-site lysine residue reacts with CO\(_2\) to form a carbamate (KC201 in Figure 8), which is synergistically stabilized by monodentate coordination to a magnesium ion (green sphere in Figure 8).\(^{175}\) A lysyl carbamate also serves as the bridging ligand between two Ni\(^{2+}\) ions in urease\(^{176}\) and two Zn\(^{2+}\) ions in phosphotriesterase.\(^{177}\) Catalytic activity also involves a conformational change, which closes the enzyme around its substrates, thus ordering and preventing solvent access to the active site.\(^{178}\) As described in the other sections, activation of other CO\(_2\) activating enzymes, for example, CODH, ACS, is required; however, for these enzymes, it is a redox activation of the active site metal center. In RuBisCO, the carbonyl-lysine stabilizes the bound Mg\(^{2+}\) and forms a H-bond with the substrate.

The catalytic reaction mechanism\(^{168}\) is initiated by binding and coordination of the substrate, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP). When RuBP binds, two waters are released from Mg\(^{2+}\) as the C-2 and C-3 hydroxide groups of the substrate coordinate to the metal ion. Bound RuBP then undergoes dehydration to generate the metal-stabilized enediol form of RuBP, which then reacts with CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O to form a 6-carbon intermediate (2′-carboxy-3-keto-D-arabinitol 1,5-bisphosphate, 2C3KABP). The reaction of CO\(_2\) with an enolate intermediate is an interesting example of C–C formation that is also used by pyruvate carboxylase\(^{179}\) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase.\(^{180}\) CO\(_2\) addition to RuBP by RuBisCO occurs without net reduction and relies on the nucleophilicity of the enolate.

The structure of RuBisCO complexed to an analogue (2′-carboxy-D-arabinitol 1,5-bisphosphate, C4BP, Figure 8) reveals the many hydrogen bond and ionic interactions between the transition state intermediate and RuBisCO’s active-site residues and bound Mg ion.\(^{171}\) The oxygen atoms attached to C-2 and C-3 of 2CABP coordinate to Mg\(^{2+}\) in the cis conformation, forming (with an oxygen from the newly attached carboxyl group) two fused five-membered rings. The bound 2C3KABP intermediate then undergoes C–C bond cleavage to release two equivalents of 3-phosphoglycerate (Scheme 15), which is assimilated into cellular biomass by further reactions of the reductive pentose phosphate pathway, or Calvin–Benson–Bassham cycle.

Enolates are susceptible to oxidation, and thus in RuBisCO catalysis oxidation of the enediol intermediate by atmospheric O\(_2\) is competitive with its carboxylation, leading to the formation of phosphoglycolate, instead of 3-phosphoglycerate. The phosphoglycolate must be recycled in a process called photorespiration, which can limit carbon fixation by up to 50%.\(^{171}\) More complicated CO\(_2\) fixation processes have evolved in so-called C4 and CAM plants to concentrate CO\(_2\) around RuBisCO and thus suppress photorespiration. These plants first capture atmospheric CO\(_2\) with phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase that combines more abundant bicarbonate (which is in about an 80:1 equilibrium with CO\(_2\) dissolved in cellular water) with phosphoenolpyruvate to give the C4 acid oxaloacetate, which is further reduced to malate. These acids are subsequently decarboxylated in either spatially separate organelles (C4) or temporally (CAM) to effectively concentrate CO\(_2\) around RuBisCO in the chloroplasts for fixation. Plants using C4 or CAM can better exploit environments where C3 plants suffer.
from high rates of photorespiration. The trade-off is that CO$_2$ fixation in these plants requires more energy.181

6.5. Two-Electron Reductions of CO$_2$ Involving Formation of a C–C Bond Catalyzed by Molecular Electro catalysts

In addition to the possibility for forming C–H bonds, two-electron reductions of CO$_2$ by molecular electrocatalysts may also result in the formation of C–C bonds. Reaction of Grignard reagents with CO$_2$ has been used for many years to prepare carboxylic acids. The uncatalyzed electrochemical carboxylation of organic halides (RX) results in the formation of esters, RCOOR. These esters are formed by the reduction of the alkyl halide to form a carbanion, followed by reaction with CO$_2$ to form a carboxylate anion (RCO$_2^-$). Reaction of the carboxylate anion with unreacted organic halide in solution produces the ester. As a result, carboxylation of only 50% of the original halide is possible.182

For catalyzed reactions, the best understood system for C–CO$_2$ bond formation is the electrocarboxylation of bromoar enes in the presence of a transition metal catalyst, reaction 26.183 This reaction, catalyzed by Ni(dppe)Cl$_2$ (where dppe is 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane) or Pd(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl$_2$,183,b,d is selective and occurs at room temperature and 1 atm of CO$_2$.

\[
\text{PhBr} + 2\text{e}^- + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{PhCO}_2^- + \text{Br}^-
\]

Mechanistic studies indicate that, depending on the metal, two different catalytic cycles are involved. In the case of the Ni complex, the first step is the reduction of Ni(dppe)Cl$_2$ to a transient Ni(dppe) species.$^{183b}$ This process occurs in two one-electron steps (reaction 27). Bromobenzene then oxidatively adds to the resulting Ni(dppe) complex to form Ni(dppe)-(Br)(Ph), reaction 28. The Ni$_{17}$ aryl species that is formed is then reduced in a one-electron process to form Ni(dppe)(Ph), which reacts rapidly with CO$_2$ to form a Ni–CO$_2$ intermediate as shown in reaction 29. The rate-determining step for the overall catalytic reaction is the insertion of CO$_2$ into the Ni–aryl bond, reaction 30, step 1. This reaction is followed by a final one-electron reduction to regenerate "Ni(dppe)", the catalyst in the cycle (reaction 30, step 2).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ni(dppe)Cl}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)Cl} \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)} \\
\text{Ni(dppe)} + \text{PhBr} & \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)Br} \\
\text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)Br} & \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)} \\
\text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)(CO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)(CO}_2) \\
\text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)(O}_2& \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)(Ph)} \\
\text{Ni(dppe) + PhCO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{Ni(dppe)} + \text{PhCO}_2^- 
\end{align*}
\]
The formation of C–C bonds between CO\(_2\) and alkenes and alkynes can also be catalyzed. For example, benzonitrile catalyzes the reductive coupling of CO\(_2\) and styrene to form mono- and dicarboxylic acid products.\(^{184}\) This transformation requires very negative potentials (~2.15 V vs SCE) and is fairly unselective. CO\(_2\) can also be incorporated into alkynes in a reaction catalyzed by nickel 2,2′-bipyridine complexes.\(^{185}\) This catalytic process requires a single compartment cell and the use of magnesium as the counter electrode. For this system, Mg\(^{2+}\) ions produced by oxidation of the Mg electrode are required to form the ultimate product. An extension of this chemistry is the reductive coupling of two molecules of CO\(_2\) to butadiene to form the conjugate base of 4-hexene-1,6-dioic acid (51), an unsaturated precursor to adipic acid,\(^{186}\) precursor to Nylon (reaction 31, \(S = \) solvent). The catalyst is the nickel complex of the tridentate ligand 2,4,4-trimethyl-1,5,9-triazacyclododecene. Although not commercially developed, these C–C bond-forming reactions indicate that in the future, electrochemical coupling of various organic substrates to CO\(_2\) could provide attractive routes to various carboxylic acids and their derivatives.\(^{187}\)

Related metal-catalyzed processes, electrochemical and otherwise, have been identified for the coupling of CO\(_2\) and alkenes. In this way, routes have been identified for the preparation of acrylates and pyrones.\(^{188}\)

7. NON-REDOX REACTIONS OF CO\(_2\) IN CARBON METABOLISM BY BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS AND PARALLEL CHEMICAL SYSTEMS

The preceding sections have discussed various processes for the reduction of CO\(_2\) and the formation of C–C bonds. In this section, we are interested in how biological systems capture CO\(_2\) from the atmosphere and how CO\(_2\) may be concentrated within organisms. In parallel with these discussions, we will examine briefly how CO\(_2\) is currently captured by the chemical industry and possible new approaches that could lead to improved efficiencies. Two specific biological examples will be discussed: carbonic anhydrases and the cyanobacterial carbon-concentrating mechanism, which includes a bacterial organelle composed entirely of protein, the carboxysome.

7.1. Carbonic Anhydrases

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) catalyze the reversible hydration of CO\(_2\) to form bicarbonate and a proton in aqueous solutions as shown in reaction 32. These enzymes are essential to many aspects of CO\(_2\) metabolism. Because the rate for the uncatalyzed interconversion between CO\(_2\) and HCO\(_3^-\) is generally slow as compared to the rates at which CO\(_2\) is fixed and produced by metabolic processes, carbonic anhydrases play an important role in CO\(_2\) metabolism in both plants and animals. For C3 plants, carbonic anhydride may not contribute significantly to CO\(_2\) fixation. However, for C4 plants and cyanobacteria, it has been estimated that the rates of CO\(_2\) fixation are enhanced by approximately a factor of 10\(^4\) by the presence of carbonic anhydrases.\(^{189}\) Carbonic anhydrases are very fast enzymes with typical turnover frequencies between 10\(^4\) and 10\(^6\) s\(^{-1}\).

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (32)
\]

On the basis of sequence and structural homology, CAs have been grouped into five structural classes that appear to have evolved independently with different amino acid sequences.\(^{190}\) These different carbonic anhydrases are associated with different types of organisms. The most frequently studied are the \(\alpha\)-CAs found in mammals, while \(\beta\)-CAs are found in chloroplasts, and both \(\beta\)- and \(\gamma\)-CAs are found in cyanobacteria.\(^{191}\) With the increasing availability of genomic and metagenomic data, new variants of these and possibly entirely new classes remain to be discovered. In addition, growing evidence points to an unexpected plasticity in the nature of the catalytic metal; for example, the zeta CAs, found in marine diatoms, use either zinc or cadmium.\(^{192}\) Likewise, a subset of the gamma CAs (which are found in every domain of life) have been shown to bind iron.\(^{193}\) The binding is sensitive to oxygen; exposure to air results in the loss of the metal and, consequently, activity.

As shown in Scheme 16, the active sites of most CAs contain a Zn\(^{2+}\) ion coordinated to three histidine residues and to water or hydroxide. The coordination of water increases its acidity to a \(pK_a\) value of approximately 7. This low \(pK_a\) allows the coordinated water to be deprotonated with weak bases to produce a hydroxide ligand. This hydroxide can then undergo reduction to form bicarbonate stabilized by hydrogen bonding to a Thr residue and possibly other residues in the second coordination sphere.\(^{194}\) A hydrophobic pocket formed by Val residues assists in the positioning of the CO\(_2\) molecule for nucleophilic attack.

Scheme 16. Proposed Catalytic Cycle for Carbonic Anhydrase
by the hydroxide ligand. Displacement of bicarbonate (or carbonic acid that is immediately deprotonated) by water regenerates the catalyst. Key features of this mechanism are the activation of water by binding to Zn$^{2+}$, the precise positioning of CO$_2$ in a hydrophobic pocket, and hydrogen bonding sites positioned in the second coordination sphere of Zn that activate water and stabilize the transition state for bicarbonate formation. Similar to the enzymes described above for CO$_2$ reduction, the multifunctional activation of water and CO$_2$ made possible by residues in the second coordination sphere is critical to the high activity of these enzymes.

In addition to basic characterization (including other functional attributes, like proton channels) of the diversity of naturally occurring CAs and their metals, key challenges for developing industrial-scale robust systems with biological CAs include engineering longevity, thermostability, and tolerance of harsh conditions such as components of flue gas. In addition, for more practical applications, it will be important to develop optimal geometries, matrices, and methods for immobilizing the enzyme and tuning the enzyme for optimal rates and directional catalysis.

Studies of the CO$_2$ binding site in the alpha class carbonic anhydrase HCAII provide a model for other CO$_2$ binding enzymes. Structural analyses of HCAII establish that CO$_2$ binds in a hydrophobic pocket, resulting in no structural changes, explained in part by passive binding with interaction distances of about 4 Å to the hydrophobic residues. However, the crystal structures also suggest a role for the amide nitrogen of Thr199 interacting with one of the oxygen centers of CO$_2$ at a distance of 3.5 Å. This weak interaction, coupled with the linear geometry of CO$_2$, orients the oxygens of CO$_2$ roughly equidistant from the zinc-bound water ligand (3.0 and 3.1 Å). These interactions result in a side-on orientation of CO$_2$ with respect to the zinc—water, placing the CO$_2$ carbon at a distance of 2.8 Å from the water ligand so as to optimize it for nucleophilic attack by the lone-pair of electrons on the zinc-bound hydroxide.

### 7.2. Synthetic Models of Carbonic Anhydrase

The relatively simple coordination environment of zinc in the carbonic anhydrase active site (three imidazole N atoms and water or hydroxide) suggests that the synthesis of functional and structural models of these enzymes might be straightforward. The challenges associated with the otherwise labile and sterically unprotected tetrahedral zinc center have been surmounted by using complexes of bulky tris(pyrrozolyl)-hydridoborates, a family of monoanionic tridentate ligands. Bulky substituents are required to suppress the formation of hydroxide bridges. For example, the hydroxide complex 53 has been synthesized and characterized by X-ray diffraction methods and by various spectroscopic methods using the trispyrazolylborate ligand with 5-methyl and 3-t-butyl substituents on the pyrazolate rings. The hydroxide complex 53 and related complexes have been shown to be functional models of carbonic anhydrase (eq 33). For 52, $^{17}$O NMR spectroscopy has demonstrated the catalytic exchange of oxygen atoms between CO$_2$ and H$_2^{17}$O, a reaction that is also catalyzed by carbonic anhydrase. In another strategy, a de novo-designed peptide containing a Zn$^{2+}$ binding site for catalytic activity and a Hg$^{2+}$ site, which provides structural stability, was shown to catalyze CO$_2$ hydration with an efficiency that is only ∼500-fold less than that of carbonic anhydrase.

### 7.3. Cyanobacterial Carbon-Concentrating Mechanism and Carboxysomes

Cyanobacteria are ecophysiologicaly diverse organisms that carry out oxygenic photosynthesis. They have evolved a carbon concentrating mechanism (CCM) that consists of active uptake of inorganic carbon across the cell membrane and a specialized subcellular compartment, the carboxysome, for the fixation of CO$_2$ (Figure 9). The CCM is effectively an integrated system that operates at the cellular level; as such, not only the individual enzymes but the scale and organization of the whole system offer inspiration for biomimetic carbon capture and fixation systems.

The active uptake of inorganic carbon (Ci) from the environment raises the Ci level within the cell; the accumulated bicarbonate diffuses into the carboxysome. The carboxysome is composed of protein shell that encapsulates CA and RuBisCO. The CA converts the bicarbonate into CO$_2$, the substrate for CO$_2$ fixation to produce two molecules of 3-PGA. The 3-PGA diffuses out of the cell.
carboxysome into the cytosol where the downstream enzymes of the Calvin cycle can convert PGA into a hexose sugar. The oxygenase reaction generates one molecule of PGA and the two-carbon phosphoglycolate (PG), effectively short-circuiting the Calvin cycle.

Consideration of several features of the CCM illustrates principles that may prove useful in the design of synthetic carbon capture and fixation systems. For example, the carboxysome shell is presumed to be selectively semipermeable to favor the passage of bicarbonate over other molecules into the interior.\textsuperscript{196,197} The rapid conversion of bicarbonate into CO\textsubscript{2} by the encapsulated CA maintains the concentration gradient that drives the bicarbonate diffusion, and also generates an environment rich in CO\textsubscript{2} near the active site of RuBisCO.\textsuperscript{191a} It is also thought that the shell may help protect the enzyme from O\textsubscript{2}, a competitive inhibitor that is generated in the light reactions of photosynthesis. Given the polyhedral profile of the carboxysome and evidence from imaging methods, it is surmised that the enzymes in the interior of the carboxysome are organized\textsuperscript{201} to allow for substrate and product channeling. Some organization would seem to be necessary, considering that the diameter of the carboxysome is usually 100–150 nm, to facilitate catalysis and metabolite flow in the center of the organelle. On a cellular level, the spatial distribution of carboxysomes also appears to be regulated for function,\textsuperscript{202} not only for equitable distribution of the organelles at cell division, but also for optimal diffusive uptake of the cytosolic bicarbonate pool.

Key advances in deepening our understanding of the CCM will come from approaches focused both on the structures of individual proteins and protein complexes, advanced imaging methods (such as XFELs\textsuperscript{203}) applied to intact carboxysomes, as well as cellular-level understanding of the dynamics of carboxysome organization.

### 7.4. CO\textsubscript{2} Capture Using Chemical Sorbents

With few exceptions,\textsuperscript{204} the conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} to fuels requires concentrating CO\textsubscript{2} from dilute streams. For the resulting fuels to be carbon-neutral, the captured CO\textsubscript{2} must originate from air or from sources that would otherwise emit the CO\textsubscript{2} to the atmosphere, thereby resulting in no net increase in atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentration when the fuel is utilized.\textsuperscript{205} This recycling of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} resembles biological cycles, even more so if it were powered by solar energy.

Many approaches are currently being pursued for the capture of CO\textsubscript{2} from fossil fuel power plants to decrease the carbon footprint of fossil energy.\textsuperscript{206} The use of the CO\textsubscript{2} captured from these power plants to generate carbon-based fuels from nonfossil energy sources does not contribute to CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration for the power plant, but CO\textsubscript{2} from power plants may function as a temporary source of CO\textsubscript{2} if the energy is used in a carbon-neutral manner. Assuming that the use of fossil fuel will decline due to either a finite supply or policy changes, then the future source of CO\textsubscript{2} for fuel production will be the atmosphere. The capture of CO\textsubscript{2} from air could have more immediate benefits as well: for example, orphaned, carbon-neutral energy sources may not have a more concentrated CO\textsubscript{2} source available for the production of carbon-neutral, carbon-based fuels. Additionally, if carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) is implemented for fossil fuel power plants, not all of the power plants have the onsite space for a CO\textsubscript{2} capture unit, and some are not within suitable proximity of a sequestration site.

Numerous methods have been investigated for separation of CO\textsubscript{2} from fossil fuel power plants, but these methods are not usually suitable for CO\textsubscript{2} capture from air.\textsuperscript{207} Physical sorbents are unlikely to have binding capability or selectivity to be able to efficiently separate CO\textsubscript{2} from air due to the low partial pressure of CO\textsubscript{2} (0.0004 atm). Because of their low energy efficiencies for separations from dilute sources, pressure swing methods and passive membranes will not be discussed. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are an active area of research for the development of new materials for CO\textsubscript{2} capture. This approach exploits the relatively high quadrupolar moment of CO\textsubscript{2}, which, at 3\texttimes\textsuperscript{17,208} of that of competing molecules such as N\textsubscript{2}, enhances the affinity of the gas for the polar bonds that comprise these extended solids (Figure 10).\textsuperscript{17,208} The porosity and reactivity of MOFs are exquisitely tunable, although barriers remain to their practical viability.

Of the known chemical sorption methods, many do not bind CO\textsubscript{2} strongly enough to capture CO\textsubscript{2} from air, with the predominant exception being hydroxides. The capture of CO\textsubscript{2} from air with hydroxides has been proposed, with regeneration methods such as electrodialysis\textsuperscript{209} or thermal regeneration from CaCO\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{207,210} For electrodialysis, water splitting is required (E\textsubscript{OC} ≥ 1.23 V), but this large energy penalty for CO\textsubscript{2} capture could be offset using multiple dialysis membrane pairs or through the use of the resulting H\textsubscript{2} for CO\textsubscript{2} hydrogenation. For thermal regeneration, estimates of the efficiency have been made\textsuperscript{210c} but are quite low due to the energy required to liberate CO\textsubscript{2} from carbonate salts, such as CaCO\textsubscript{3}. A promising approach to CO\textsubscript{2} capture using hydroxide involves a dispersed anion exchange resin. In the hydroxide form, such resins can be saturated with CO\textsubscript{2} and then regenerated to liberate the CO\textsubscript{2} by hydration.\textsuperscript{211}

An alternative approach to traditional thermal cycles or electrodialysis for the sorption and desorption of CO\textsubscript{2} is through the use of an electrochemical cycle to change the oxidation state of a CO\textsubscript{2} sorbent, thereby changing the affinity of the sorbent from a form that favors CO\textsubscript{2} binding to a form that favors CO\textsubscript{2} release. This approach has been studied for two general classes of electrochemically switchable CO\textsubscript{2} sorbents: quinones and bimetallic complexes.\textsuperscript{212} Through a two-electron reduction in an aprotic solvent, quinones were converted to the
corresponding dianionic catecholate species, which reacted with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The potentials for the overall reaction were observed to be low and could be utilized for CO₂ capture from air, with the exception that the reduced CO₂ binding forms of the quinones are sensitive to oxygen.

Bimetallic complexes have been reported as an alternative, wherein the CO₂ capture form was the oxidized, and thereby oxygen-stable, form of the CO₂ sorbent. In this case, CO₂ bound to the metal complex as carbonate that was formed by dissolution of CO₂ in water. These bimetallic complexes of copper were demonstrated to be effective for separating CO₂; however, the binding constants observed were inadequate for separating CO₂ from air. Further work in this area is needed to move to air-stable complexes capable of capturing atmospheric CO₂.

The direct capture and reduction of CO₂ may be advantageous; however, very few chemical systems are known to exhibit both activities. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂. The most prominent example is the dicopper(I) complex reported by Bouwman that reacts with CO₂ to form organic carbonates. These in turn were reoxidized by two electrons to regenerate the starting quinone and CO₂.

7.5. Comparison of Biological and Chemical Systems for CO₂ Capture

The majority of chemical approaches to CO₂ capture utilize only the first coordination sphere, such as the direct binding of CO₂ to hydroxide. However, biological approaches make effective use of additional interactions with the substrate through the second coordination sphere and beyond. Biological systems clearly demonstrate that the capture and reduction of CO₂ from air can be accomplished without prohibitive energy expenditure, but chemical systems to date have been unable to replicate this approach. In biological systems, the binding energies for substrates are carefully balanced to be sufficient to allow catalytic transformations to proceed while not forming unreactive intermediates. Additionally, substrate binding is controlled through subtle changes in structure rather than in typical chemical approaches such as heating or mechanical pumping. A greater understanding of the precise control mechanisms in biological systems may benefit chemical approaches.

8. ELECTRODE MODIFICATION, PHOTOCHEMICAL SYSTEMS, AND TANDEM CATALYSIS

Discussions presented to this point have focused primarily on catalytic transformations of CO₂ and its reduced derivatives. Implicit in any conversion of CO₂ to fuels is the input of energy in the form of either electrons and protons or H₂. This consideration should focus attention on modalities for coupling energy sources with catalytic systems. For example, the utilization of electrical energy generated by photovoltaic devices, wind, or nuclear energy to reduce CO₂ could take place at an electrode surface. Alternatively, small particles or even molecules capable of converting light into electrical energy in the form of electron–hole pairs can provide the driving force required for CO₂ reduction. These considerations raise interesting issues associated with the coupling of catalysts to energy sources such as electrodes or light harvesting particles and molecules. Thus, the generation of these interfaces is important.

Modification of electrodes with molecular electrocatalysts or enzymes for CO₂ reduction has been reported. For example, Hirst and co-workers have shown that electrodes modified with formate dehydrogenase enzymes can catalyze both CO₂ reduction to formate and the reverse reaction at high rates. Similarly, CODH enzymes have also been attached to electrode surfaces and shown to catalyze both the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and the oxidation of CO₂ and TiO₂ nanoparticles modified with CODH and [Ru(bipy)₃]⁺ derivatives catalyze the photochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO in the presence of sacrificial electron donors.

For molecular electrocatalysts, one of the first examples of modified electrodes involved the reduction of CO₂ to CO by [Ni(cyclam)]⁺ (13 in Chart 1) adsorbed on Hg. In this case, the adsorbed catalyst exhibits higher activity, selectivity, and turnover numbers than the homogeneous species. Subsequent studies showed that the N-methylated derivatives of [Ni(cyclam)]⁺ exhibit improved catalytic activity on a Hg electrode, although certain isomers of [Ni(cyclam)]⁺ are effective in homogeneous solution using carbon electrodes. Similarly, carbon electrodes modified with cobalt phthalocyanines have been reported to produce CO₂ and polymeric thin films of [Ru(bipy)(CO)₂]⁺ on carbon and platinum catalyze the reduction of CO₂ to CO in both organic solvents and water. Polymeric films derived from the electrochemical polymerization of vinylbpyridine and vinylterpyridine complexes of Re and Co, and polypyrrole/Schiff-base complexes of nickel, catalyze the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO and formate.

Photochemical reduction of CO₂ has been achieved using sacrificial electron donors and a variety of catalysts and sensitizers. These photocatalytic systems may involve catalysts and sensitizers that both diffuse independently in solution, covalently linked sensitizer-catalyst complexes (supramolecular catalysts), or, even more surprisingly, systems for which the light absorber and the catalyst are the same complex. Some of the challenges in this area of linking catalysts to energy sources lie in the development of attachment methods that (1) are simple and do not require synthetically difficult catalyst modifications, (2) do not degrade the catalyst performance in terms of rate and selectivity, and (3) provide facile access of substrates (electrons, protons, CO₂, etc.) and departure of products from the catalytic active sites.

In addition to coupling the catalyst to the energy source (electrode or sensitizer), the coupling of individual catalytic reactions (tandem catalysis) can also be important. The control of substrates, intermediates, and products in biological systems is often the result of a series of linked catalytic reactions in which the product of one reaction is the substrate for the next reaction. For reactions near equilibrium, depleting the product of one reaction by using it as the substrate of the subsequent reaction can increase the driving force for the first reaction to proceed. This approach, along with the fine control provided by the sophisticated structures utilized in biological systems, appears to allow much lower substrate concentrations to be used than would be possible in the absence of such coupling.

An obvious example of coupled reactions is the photosynthetic reactions of the Calvin cycle, in which CO₂ reacts...
with a 5-carbon sugar, ribulose bisphosphate, to form two molecules of phosphoglycerate. This reaction is catalyzed by the enzyme ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase (RuBisCO), as discussed in section 6.4, and involves both the formation and the cleavage of C–C bonds. The phosphoglyceric acid is phosphorylated and then reduced in a second-electron step involving NADPH. Overall, a four-electron reduction of CO2 to the level of a sugar (or formaldehyde) is achieved in photosynthesis. An example of a synthetic system based on enzymes is the reduction of CO2 to methanol using a combination of an electron mediator and two enzymes, formate dehydrogenase and methanol dehydrogenase. This system operates with current efficiencies as high as 90% and with low overpotentials.220 The high selectivity and efficiency of this system indicate the potential of enzyme cascades or tandem catalysis. Another example of this approach using synthetic catalysts is the hydrogenation of CO2 to methanol using three catalysts and a cascade sequence involving: (a) hydrogenation of CO2 to formic acid, (b) esterification to generate a formate ester, and (c) hydrogenation of the ester to form methanol.221 Challenges in the development of tandem catalysis include assuring that the reaction conditions, such as solvents, temperature, and pressure, required for one catalyst are compatible with those required by the catalysts for subsequent reactions and that reactants or products of individual catalytic reactions do not inhibit any other desired catalytic reactions in the cascade.

9. CROSS-CUTTING ACTIVITIES

To guide the development of improved catalysts for the transformation of CO2 to fuels, the structures of the enzymes and synthetic catalysts must be elucidated in various states, resting, inhibited, as well as under catalytic conditions. Required are the usual structural tools including XAS and X-ray diffraction techniques as well as more conventional spectroscopic techniques such as NMR, UV–vis, and infrared spectroscopy that can be implemented under high pressures or temperatures and/or at interfaces such as at an electrode surface. For probing Fe-containing proteins (e.g., CODH, ACS), nuclear resonance vibrational spectroscopy (NRVS) is an emerging synchrotron-based tool.222 A recurring challenge is the detection of hydride ligands, which have been proposed to exist as in the NiFe-based CODH.223 Hydride ligands are weak chromophores and invisible to protein crystallography. Synthetic analogues of proposed hydride-containing intermediates represent useful complements to biophysical studies.224

Of central importance is the synthesis of new catalysts to test hypotheses generated by mechanistic and structural studies of enzymes and their synthetic analogues. The synthesis of new catalysts increasingly involves a marriage of the organic chemistry of ligands with coordination chemistry.225 The important role of the second coordination sphere places greater emphasis on the subtle design features, reminiscent of growing field of organocatalysis, which exploits the juxtaposition of multiple functional groups to effect catalysis in the absence of transition metals.226 The fact that some amino acid residues are highly conserved in enzymes implicates specific structural features that may be beneficial to synthetic catalysts. Discerning precisely which structural features are most important may involve site-directed mutagenesis and other manipulations of enzymes that are highly sensitive to air.

The central role of electron transfer reactions in biological and chemical catalysis of CO2 reduction will require a greater integration of modern electrochemical methods into the studies of these systems. Such studies can provide valuable information on both the thermodynamics and kinetics of key catalytic steps and intermediates and on the overall catalytic processes.226 New electrochemical techniques and capabilities will play an important role in the future development of efficient catalysts for CO2 reduction to fuels and in the utilization of these fuels. Illustrative of the possibilities is the in situ application of sum frequency generation (SFG) to examine the mechanism of ionic liquids on CO2 electroreduction.82 Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) is an emerging technique that allows rapid screening of electrocatalysts.227

Advances in CO2 reduction technologies can also benefit from efforts to optimize fuel cells. Electrolyzers and fuel cells share important commonalities, although certain aspects differ profoundly, including water management and cell potentials. One could envision that electrochemical CO2 conversion processes could benefit from more advanced, bimetallic catalysts. The performance of direct methanol fuel cells dramatically improved upon the introduction of bimetallic Pt/Ru catalysts, where the Ru neutralizes the poisoning effects CO on bare Pt catalysts.228 Also, nonprecious metal-based catalysts show promise for fuel cells. Similarly, one can foresee the exploration of molecular229 or pyrrolyzed catalysts.230 Because of their fleeting existence, catalytic intermediates and transition states in enzymes and catalysts are often not amenable to precise structural characterization.231 One way forward is the use of computational methods, which have developed greatly over the past decade and can reliably predict the structures and dynamics of intermediates.231 For example, computational simulations have contributed significantly to the development of mechanisms on heterogeneous catalysts where few proposed intermediates can be observed experimentally. However, computational studies benefit from benchmarking against experimentally determined thermodynamic and kinetic values from studies of closely related systems. This benchmarking is particularly important for the very efficient catalysts where the energy surfaces will be relatively flat. In addition to providing answers regarding specific intermediates, transition states, and mechanistic pathways, a more general challenge for either biological or artificial catalysis is the development of predictive models of free energy surfaces. Such models would have the advantage that they do not require detailed calculations for every new system that can be envisioned, but instead a few parameters that control the reactivity of the overall catalytic process can be used to predict and understand catalyst performance. Such models, when coupled with the structural, mechanistic, and synthetic tools described above, would provide powerful new approaches to catalyst development.

10. SUMMARY AND CHALLENGES

The objective of the workshop on which this document is based was to assess the state of the art in both biological and chemical catalysis and to identify and understand general strategies and design principles to guide the development of the many different catalysts that will be required for transforming CO2 into high energy density fuels. The motivation is energy sustainability. From the many perspectives presented in this document, it is clear that efficient catalysis for the reduction of CO2 into fuels will require close attention to details of the first, second, and outer coordination spheres. Biological systems provide important insights into how structural features in these
different coordination spheres are integrated to achieve the controlled assembly of reactants and the departure of products, facilitate substrate binding and product release, and enhance the rates of bond formation and cleavage required for catalysis. The first coordination spheres of the metal centers of the active sites of CODH and FDH enzymes contain strong field ligands that result in the low-spin complexes required for achieving facile catalytic transformations of CO$_2$ and its derivatives. Structural studies of enzyme active sites also indicate that vacant coordination sites in the first coordination sphere play an important role in these catalytic reactions, and this is a common theme in chemical catalysis as well. A single vacant coordination site is normally required for substrate binding, and two or more sites on the same or adjacent metals may be required for C=O, C−H, and C−C bond formation or cleavage. The second coordination sphere of the active site consists of functional groups such as hydrogen-binding sites, Lewis acids, or bases that can interact with substrates or products but not directly (or only weakly) with the metal or primary substrate-binding site. As was found also in the hydrogenases, this second coordination sphere plays important roles in substrate positioning and binding and the formation and cleavage of C=O, C−C, and C=H bonds. The second coordination sphere also has important relay functions and provides pathways for the transfer of protons and electrons as well as substrates and products. In this role, the movement of functional groups is required, and understanding the structural features controlling these dynamic processes will be critical. The outer coordination spheres of enzymes contain different types of channels and binding sites that provide access of reactants to, and the departure of products from, the active site. These channels and binding sites permit regulation and control of enzyme activity, and achieving similar control in synthetic catalysts is in its infancy.

One of the most stimulating aspects of the U.S. Department of Energy workshop on the Frontiers, Opportunities, and Challenges in Biochemical and Chemical Catalysis of CO$_2$ was the constructive interactions between scientists in different disciplines, and the hydrogenase community serves as an excellent model for promotion of such multidisciplinary interactions. A similar constructive interaction between catalytic chemists, modelers, spectroscopists, computational chemists, biochemists, and electrochemists would contribute significantly to our efforts to generate a broad spectrum of catalysts whose performance rival and exceed biological catalysts. It was a general consensus of the workshop that the development of catalysts for the generation of fuels from CO$_2$ would be promoted by increasing interactions between scientists in these biological and chemical disciplines who can share ideas and develop collaborations.
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